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## Abstract

This Rail Safety Data Report (RSDR) analyzes event data collected through the State Safety Oversight (SSO) program and provides a snapshot of the safety performance of the rail transit industry for the nine-year period of calendar year (CY) 2007 through CY 2015. This report focuses on the types of events that occurred, their consequences in terms of fatalities and injuries, and their likely causes. The report standardizes event, fatality and injury numbers by 100 million vehicle revenue miles (100M VRM) as reported to the National Transit Database (NTD). By identifying and analyzing this information, FTA can develop and conduct research, training, and evaluation targeted at the situations and conditions that pose the greatest risk of harm to passengers, employees, station occupants, and the public.

## Subject Terms

Rail Transit; State Safety Oversight Program; Industry Trends; Collision Type; Event Type; Fatalities by Mode; Injuries by Mode; Heavy Rail; Light Rail; Streetcar; Events by Probable Cause
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Executive Summary

This Rail Safety Data Report (RSDR) is a data analysis prepared by the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) to illustrate rail transit safety outcomes and present trends and patterns in rail safety and security event data. This RSDR focuses on safety outcomes in 2015 and the trend of the data between 2007 and 2015. The FTA plans to publish additional RSDRs as future annual transit safety data becomes available.

The data used in the RSDR come from State Safety Oversight Agencies (SSOAs) in accordance with 49 C.F.R. § 659.39(c). The FTA oversees SSOAs through the State Safety Oversight (SSO) program. The SSOAs in turn oversee safety at rail transit agencies that receive Federal funding. The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) oversees a small number of rail transit agencies that this report does not include. Data on vehicle revenue miles (VRM) come from the National Transit Database (NTD). These data are used to standardize safety outcomes by 100 million (100M) VRM to account for the distinct levels of service provided by different modes and by the rail transit industry each year.

From 2007 to 2015, FTA required SSOAs to submit reports on all events that resulted in a fatality, two or more injuries, $25,000 or more in property damage, a life safety evacuation, a mainline derailment, a collision at a grade crossing, a collision between a train and a person, or a collision between two rail transit vehicles. See Appendix A for more precise definitions and thresholds. However, some reporting requirements changed during this timeframe, and for that reason the RSDR limits some analyses to the 2011–2015 period. The FTA is currently transitioning to a new SSO rule (codified at 49 C.F.R. Part 674), which will result in changes to data collection within this program in future years.
**Events, Fatalities, and Injuries**

In 2015, SSOAs reported

- **1,396 events** that resulted in
  - 152 fatalities and
  - 732 injuries

All three of these annual totals increased between 2014 and 2015.

- Events increased 25.5% and averaged an increase of 7.7% per year after 2007.
- Fatalities increased 12.6% and averaged an increase of 1.6% per year after 2007.
- Injuries increased 8.6% and averaged an increase of 3.2% per year after 2007.

The charts above take into account increasing rail service levels by standardizing event, fatality, and injury totals by VRM to calculate rates (red line). While the rates have also increased, the amount of increase is lower than the increases in raw values due to increasing service levels. In the nine-year period from 2007 to 2015

- Events per 100M VRM increased at an average of 6.5% per year;
- Fatalities per 100M VRM increased at an average of 0.4% per year; and
- Injuries per 100M VRM increased at an average of 2.0% per year.
Fatalities by Rail Transit Mode

The following charts show fatalities by rail transit mode. See Appendix A for precise definitions of the modes shown.

Figure 2. Rail System Fatalities and Rates per 100M VRM by Mode, 2007–2015

- The majority (73%) of fatalities occurred at heavy rail systems; however, standardizing by 100M VRM shows that the fewest number of fatalities occurred in those systems on a per revenue mile basis.

Fatalities by Person Type and Cause

The charts below show fatalities both by types of person and probable cause. See Appendix A for precise definitions of the person types and causes shown.

Figure 3. Fatalities by Person Type

- The majority (78%) of fatalities were members of the public (neither transit agency customers nor workers).
- Of these members of the public, the majority (80%) were trespassers or persons committing suicide.
After excluding suicide and trespasser fatalities, the trend in fatalities still increased between 2007 and 2015.

7.95 such fatalities per 100M VRM occurred in 2015 compared to 6.61 in 2007, reflecting an average annual increase of 2.3% between those years.

Passenger fatalities per 100M VRM fluctuated between 2007 and 2015 but were roughly the same at the start and end of the analyzed period (0.98 and 1.28 per 100M VRM respectively).

Public fatalities per 100M VRM increased an average of 6.2% per year between 2007 and 2015, in contrast with fatalities sustained by other persons.

Patron and worker fatalities per 100M VRM increased through 2013 but decreased between 2013 and 2015.
Introduction

The Rail Safety Data Report (RSDR) is a data analysis prepared by the Federal Transit Administration (FTA). The goal of the RSDR is to present and summarize rail transit safety and security event data with a focus on patterns and trends in event frequency, fatality, and injury data within the report’s period of study. This RSDR presents data reported through the State Safety Oversight (SSO) program for the years 2007 through 2015. This report highlights totals and rates from 2015, the most recent year of data available for analysis, and provides trends across the nine-year study period.

Overview of the State Safety Oversight Program

The FTA oversees State Safety Oversight Agencies (SSOAs) to ensure a broad, systems-oriented safety strategy for the rail fixed guideway public transportation industry. Through audits, training, and outreach, FTA supports compliance with the State Safety Oversight rule at 49 C.F.R. Part 659; professional development of rail transit and state safety managers and staff; and the advancement of system safety principles in the design, engineering, construction, operations, and maintenance of rail transit systems. In accordance with 49 C.F.R. § 659.39(c), SSOAs report a variety of information to FTA, including rail transit agency event data, which give FTA greater insight into rail transit safety performance.

This RSDR analyzes event data collected through the SSOAs and provides a snapshot of the safety performance of the rail transit industry for the nine-year period of calendar year (CY) 2007 through CY 2015. Specifically, this report focuses on the types of events that occurred, their consequences in terms of fatalities and injuries, and their likely causes. This report standardizes event, fatality, and injury numbers by 100 million vehicle revenue miles (100M VRM) as reported to the National Transit Database (NTD). By identifying and analyzing this information, FTA can develop and conduct research, training, and evaluation targeted at the situations and conditions that pose the greatest risk of harm to passengers, employees, station occupants, and the public.

On March 16, 2016, FTA issued a new rule for State Safety Oversight of the rail fixed guideway public transportation industry that will replace the longstanding rule at 49 C.F.R. Part 659 (see 51 Federal Register 14230-62). The new rule, codified at 49 C.F.R. Part 674, establishes a different regimen for rail transit system notification and reporting of accidents, incidents, and occurrences to FTA and the SSOAs. However, until SSOAs and rail transit agencies come into compliance with the new rule at Part 674, they will continue to comply with the rule at Part 659.
SSO Community

There are currently 31 SSOAs designated to oversee the safety of 65 rail transit agencies (RTAs) in operation and under construction nationwide. Of this total number of RTAs, this report includes the 53 that were operational between 2007 and 2015. The map below and the table in Appendix A identify the SSOAs subject to 49 C.F.R. Part 659 and mark the location of each applicable RTA.

The SSO program excludes rail transit systems that do not meet FTA’s definition of public transportation. Specific exclusions by law (49 U.S.C. §§ 5302, 5329) include sightseeing services, intra-terminal or intra-facility shuttle services (such as airport people movers), and the commuter rail systems subject to the safety jurisdiction of the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA). The SSO program also excludes those rail transit systems that do not use FTA funding and that do not report their data to the NTD for inclusion in the apportionment of formula grants.

SSO Community Map

Figure 7. SSO Community Map by Region
Operating RTAs

As of the date of this report, there are 56 RTAs in operation across the country, with an additional 9 in engineering or under construction. Of these 56 RTAs, 13 operate heavy rail, 23 operate light rail, and 2 operate hybrid rail (included in the light rail totals in this analysis). Nineteen operate streetcars and 10 operate other rail modes, including 6 automated guideway systems, 3 inclined plane systems, and 1 cable car system. Nine agencies operate 2 modes and a single agency (the San Francisco Municipal Railway) operates 3 modes, accounting for the difference in total agencies and the sum of modes operated. See Appendix A for a full list of agencies and the modes they operate.

Limitations of This Analysis

This report presents safety data as provided to the SSO program and normalized using service data as provided to the NTD program. All analyses in this report provide descriptive statistics illustrating the distribution and trends in rail transit safety outcomes between 2007 and 2015. This report does not estimate or otherwise draw conclusions on safety outcomes beyond 2015.
2015 Rail Transit Industry Data and Annual Trends

Total VRM (Millions) for Regulated RTAs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VRM</td>
<td>711.1</td>
<td>732.2</td>
<td>745.8</td>
<td>729.1</td>
<td>720.4</td>
<td>728.7</td>
<td>752.9</td>
<td>757.7</td>
<td>779.6</td>
<td>739.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 8. Total VRM (Millions)\(^1\)

- 2015 had the highest number of rail VRM with 779.6M, a 2.9% increase from 2014.

Total Events and Rates per 100M VRM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Events</td>
<td>769</td>
<td>771</td>
<td>1,029</td>
<td>1,023</td>
<td>1,032</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1,099</td>
<td>1,112</td>
<td>1,396</td>
<td>1,047.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate/100M VRM</td>
<td>108.14</td>
<td>132.61</td>
<td>137.97</td>
<td>140.30</td>
<td>143.25</td>
<td>137.23</td>
<td>145.98</td>
<td>146.78</td>
<td>179.08</td>
<td>141.66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 9. Total Events and Rates per 100M VRM

- SSOAs reported more events in 2015 than in any other year of the analyzed period (1,396 total reportable events). The 2015 event rate increased 22.0% from 2014 to 179.08 per 100M VRM.

Total Fatalities and Rates per 100M VRM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fatalities</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>139.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate/100M VRM</td>
<td>18.84</td>
<td>14.61</td>
<td>19.44</td>
<td>17.83</td>
<td>19.02</td>
<td>21.41</td>
<td>21.12</td>
<td>17.82</td>
<td>19.50</td>
<td>18.85</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 10. Total Fatalities and Rates per 100M VRM

- SSOAs reported 152 fatalities in 2015, 17 more than in 2014 but 7 fewer than the peak year of the analyzed period (2013). The fatality rate in 2015 (19.50 per 100M VRM) was 9.4% higher than in 2014 (17.82).

---

\(^1\) This analysis uses rates based on vehicle revenue miles instead of passenger miles because vehicle revenue miles are a more accurate measure of exposure.
**Total Injuries and Rates per 100M VRM**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Injuries</td>
<td>659</td>
<td>693</td>
<td>633</td>
<td>704</td>
<td>624</td>
<td>643</td>
<td>610</td>
<td>674</td>
<td>732</td>
<td>653.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per 100M VRM</td>
<td>80.01</td>
<td>94.64</td>
<td>84.87</td>
<td>96.55</td>
<td>86.62</td>
<td>88.24</td>
<td>81.02</td>
<td>88.96</td>
<td>93.90</td>
<td>88.35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 11. Total Injuries and Rates per 100M VRM**

- SSOAs reported 732 injuries in 2015, 58 more than in 2014 and the highest tally in the analyzed period. When standardized by 100M VRM, the injury rate in 2015 (93.90) was 5.5% higher than in 2014 (88.96), but below the 96.55 per 100M VRM reported in 2010.

**Fatality and Injury Person Types**

SSOAs provide categorical data on fatalities and injuries in event reports to FTA. This report presents the frequency and trends of fatalities and injuries grouped by the following types of individuals:

- **Passengers** aboard rail transit vehicles or in the process of boarding or alighting;
- **Patrons**, including all other customers on transit agency property;
- **Workers**, including rail transit agency employees and contractors; and
- The **public**, including pedestrians, automobile drivers, suicides, and trespassers.
Figure 12. Fatalities and Rates per 100M VRM by Person Type

- The 2015 passenger fatality rate of 1.28 per 100M VRM is 31% higher than in 2007. However, after increasing from 2007 to 2009, the passenger fatality rate decreased between 2009 and 2014, from 1.74 to 0.66 per 100M VRM. Despite the uptick from 2014, the 2015 fatality rate is still 26% lower than the rate in 2009.

- SSOAs reported 2.31 patron fatalities per 100M VRM in 2015 — 27% fewer than in 2014 and 18% fewer than in 2007. This rate increased steadily from 2008 to 2012, when SSOAs reported 4.80 fatalities per 100M VRM, but then decreased by more than 50% between 2012 and 2015.

- Public fatalities accounted for 79% of all fatalities reported in 2015. Public fatalities occurred over three times more frequently than passenger, patron, and worker fatalities combined.

- Public fatalities constituted 77% of all fatalities reported by SSOAs over the nine-year period.

- Four worker fatalities occurred in 2015. The 2015 worker fatality rate (0.51 per 100M VRM) is higher than the 2014 rate (0.00) and the 2007 rate (0.28) but less than half of the peak rate reported in the analyzed period (1.06 per 100M VRM in 2013).
Figure 13. Fatality and Fatality Rate Trends by Person Type
Injuries and Rates per 100M VRM by Person Type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Passenger</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>277.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patron</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>113.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>211.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worker</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>51.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 14. Injuries and Rates per 100M VRM by Person Type

- SSOAs reported 229 passenger injuries in 2015, nine fewer than in 2014, marking the second straight year of fewer reported passenger injuries. The 29.38 passenger injuries reported per 100M VRM that year is the lowest rate in the analyzed period and represents a 5.4% average annual decrease since 2007.

- SSOAs reported 202 patron injuries in 2015 — the most in any year of the analyzed period. The patron injury rate of 25.91 per 100M VRM in 2015 represents an average increase of 25.5% per year since 2007.

- The total of 231 public injuries reported by SSOAs in 2015 is the highest total reported since 2008.

- When standardized by 100M VRM, the public injury rate in 2015 (29.63) is 2.4% lower than the 30.36 per 100M VRM reported in 2014 but reflects a 1.4% average annual increase from 2007. The 2015 public injury rate is lower than the rates from 2010 (31.00) and 2008 (34.28).

- SSOAs reported 70 worker injuries in 2015, roughly one-tenth of all injuries reported. However, SSOAs reported fewer worker injuries each other year in the analyzed period.

- The 8.98 worker injuries reported per 100M VRM (for 2015) is the highest worker injury rate reported in the analyzed period and represents an average annual increase of 12.4% since 2007.
Figure 15. Percent Change in Injuries and Injury Rates by Person Type
Events by Mode

The FTA divides rail transit service into nine distinct modes. Seven of these modes fall under the SSO program; the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) has safety oversight for the remaining two (Commuter Rail and Alaska Railroad). This report groups the seven SSO-applicable modes into four modal categories for analysis purposes. See Appendix A for details on these modal groupings. The analyses in this section present the trend and distribution of events using the four modal categories shown below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heavy Rail</td>
<td>Local rail service typically characterized by long trains, exclusive right-of-way (ROW), and powered by a third rail.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light Rail</td>
<td>Local rail service typically characterized by shorter trains, a mix of street-running and exclusive ROW with grade crossings and powered by overhead wires.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streetcar</td>
<td>Local rail service typically characterized by street running, single-car trains powered by overhead wires.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>Any other local rail services with unique operating characteristics (cable cars, inclined planes, monorails, etc.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Modal Categories
- SSOAs reported 65.47 events per 100M VRM of heavy rail service in 2015, the highest event rate of the analyzed period. This rate represents a 28.5% increase from 2014 and an average increase of 6.4% per year since 2007.

- The 684.54 events per 100M VRM of light rail service reported in 2015 represent a 14.9% increase from 2014 and an average increase of 3.2% per year since 2007. The light rail event rate increased each year between 2011 and 2015.

- The streetcar event rate in 2015 (4,039.6 per 100M VRM) was 51.4% higher than in 2014. It reflects an 8.9% average annual increase from 2007. After increasing between 2007 and 2009, this rate decreased each year from 2009 to 2014. Despite an uptick in 2015, this rate was still 9.2% lower than the rate reported in 2008.

- In 2015, SSOAs reported 677.77 events per 100M VRM of rail service for “other” modes, including cable cars, monorails, and inclined planes. This represents a 5.6% decrease from 2014 but a 5.2% average annual increase since 2007.

- Reported events at “other” modes increased sharply between 2009 and 2010. SSOAs reported fewer events per 100M VRM at these modes in 2015 than in any other year since 2010.
Fatalities and Rates per 100M VRM by Mode

- Heavy rail accounted for nearly two thirds of fatalities reported by SSOAs in 2015, and 73% of fatalities throughout the analyzed period. However, when standardized, heavy rail modes account for fewer fatalities per 100M VRM than light rail, streetcar, or other modes during the entire analyzed period.

- The 15.09 fatalities per 100M VRM of heavy rail service in 2015 represent a 2.2% increase from 2014 but also reflect a 0.8% average annual decrease from 2007. The 2015 heavy rail rate is also lower than the rate reported each year between 2009 and 2013.

- The fatality rate for light rail modes in 2015 (45.21 per 100M VRM) is 25.4% higher than in 2014 (36.04) and reflects a 2.4% annual average increase from 2007 (37.34). However, this is still 9.9% lower than the peak light rail fatality rate reported in the analyzed period (50.15, reported in 2012).

- The 61.52 fatalities per 100M VRM reported for streetcar modes in 2015 result in the highest streetcar fatality rate reported since 2007.

- There were no fatalities reported for “other” modes in 2015, the only year in the analyzed period for which SSOAs reported no fatalities for these modes.
Figure 18. Fatality and Fatality Rate Trends by Rail Mode
• Nearly half of the injuries SSOAs reported in 2015 occurred on heavy rail modes, but once standardized, the heavy rail mode accounted for the lowest injury rate per 100M VRM of the four mode categories analyzed. In contrast, in 2015 streetcars recorded the highest injury rate per 100M VRM while only accounting for 13% of reported injuries.

• In 2015, SSOAs reported 53.40 injuries per 100M VRM of heavy rail service. This is the highest reported heavy rail injury rate in the analyzed period and reflects a 44% increase from 2014 and an average increase of 11.7% per year since 2007.

• The 254.63 injuries reported per 100M VRM of light rail service in 2015 is the second lowest injury rate reported for light rail modes in the analyzed period. This rate reflects a 22.4% decrease from 2014 and an average decrease of 2.7% per year since 2007.

• The 1,927.54 injuries reported for streetcar modes per 100M VRM in 2015 reflect a 14.9% increase from 2014, but an 8.3% average annual decrease since 2007. SSOAs reported at least 2,500 injuries per 100M VRM of streetcar service in six of the seven years between 2007 and 2013.

• SSOAs reported eight injuries for “other” modes in 2015 — one more than in 2007 and six more than in 2007. The peak year for injuries at these modes was 2010, when SSOAs reported 27. The 2015 figure reflects a 21.6% average annual decrease from that year.
Figure 20. Percent Change in Injuries and Injury Rates by Rail Mode
(a change from 0 is represented as a 100% increase on the charts above)
Events by Event Type

SSOAs report all events that meet at least one threshold defined in 49 C.F.R. § 659.33(a), including collisions between trains, pedestrian strikes, derailments, and evacuations. SSOAs characterize each event reported to FTA using the categories shown in the table below. The analyses that follow present the trend and distributions of events, fatalities, and injuries by these five event types.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Collision: Non-Rail Grade Crossing (Non-RGX)</td>
<td>A collision between a rail transit train and any object or person that does not occur at a grade crossing or street intersection. Suicide and trespassing events are excluded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collision: Rail Grade Crossing (RGX)</td>
<td>A collision between a rail transit train and any object or person that occurs at a grade crossing or street intersection, except those related to suicide attempts or trespassing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derailment</td>
<td>Derailment of a rail transit train.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire</td>
<td>Fires on transit agency property.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Event</td>
<td>Any other event that surpasses a reporting threshold, including all suicide attempts and trespassing events.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Event Types
**Events and Rates per 100M VRM by Event Type**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-RGX Collision</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>180.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RGX Collision</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>537</td>
<td>518</td>
<td>490</td>
<td>462</td>
<td>458</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>577</td>
<td>703</td>
<td>511.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derailment</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Event</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>265.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 21. Events and Rates per 100M VRM by Event Type**

- SSOAs reported collisions to FTA more often than any other type of event during the analyzed period. Nearly seven out of every ten events reported in 2015 were collisions. In contrast, derailments and fires accounted for 6% and 2% respectively of all events reported in 2015.

- The 90.18 RGX collisions per 100M VRM reported in 2015 reflect an 18.4% increase from 2014 and an average increase of 8.2% per year since 2007. After decreasing steadily from 2008 to 2012, the RGX collision rate increased each year between 2012 and 2015. The 2015 RGX collision rate reflects a 12.8% average increase per year since 2012, when SSOAs reported 62.85 per 100M VRM.

- The 2015 non-RGX collision rate of 31.56 per 100M VRM is the highest rate reported in the analyzed period. This rate represents a 17.2% increase from 2014 and an average increase of 10.8% per year since 2007.

- The derailment rate of 10.26 per 100M VRM in 2015 is the highest rate reported in the analyzed period. This represents a 36.4% increase from 2014 and an average increase of 1.1% per year since 2007.
The majority of fatalities reported to FTA in each year of the analyzed period resulted from “other” events, which include all suicide and trespasser events. In 2015, over 70% of fatalities resulted from one of these event types.

In 2015, 22 fatalities resulted from RGX collisions, the highest fatality count resulting from these events in the analyzed period. The RGX collision fatality rate increased an average of 7.8% per year between 2007 and 2015.

An additional 20 fatalities resulted from non-RGX collisions in 2015. SSOAs reported 20 or more non-RGX collision fatalities each year between 2011 and 2015. The non-RGX collision fatality rate increased at an average rate of 6.5% per year between 2007 and 2015.

SSOAs reported very few fatalities from derailments or fires during the analyzed period. No fatalities resulted from derailments between 2007 and 2015, while only two resulted from fires during that timeframe.

Suicide and trespasser events accounted for the majority of fatalities reported by SSOAs during the analyzed period. Excluding these events, SSOAs reported an average of 7.13 fatalities per 100M VRM from 2007 to 2015.
Figure 23. Fatality and Fatality Rate Trends by Cause
In 2015, 60% of injuries reported by SSOAs resulted from a collision (non-RGX or RGX).

SSOAs reported 23.99 injuries from non-RGX collisions per 100M VRM in 2015, an 8.7% decrease from 2014 but a 4.5% annual average increase from 2007. Between 2007 and 2015, this rate peaked in 2009 at 42.50 injuries per 100M VRM. Since then, the non-RGX collision injury rate has decreased at an average pace of 9.1% per year.

Reported injuries from RGX collisions fluctuated throughout the analyzed period. The 31.56 per 100M VRM in 2015 is slightly below average for the period and reflects an 18.1% decrease from 2014 and an average decrease of 3.4% per year since 2007.

SSOAs reported fewer injuries from derailments and fires than from other event types. Combined, these two event types accounted for 4.6% of reported injuries during the analyzed period.

In 2010, SSOAs reported 41 injuries from fires, accounting for 38.0% of all fire injuries over the nine-year period.

Prior to 2010, SSOAs reported over 25 derailment injuries each year. More than half (45) of the 77 derailment injuries reported since 2010 happened in 2014.
Figure 25. Injuries and Injury Rate per 100M VRM by Event Type
Collisions by Collision Type

In 2011, SSOAs began reporting additional data to FTA regarding collisions, including the object with which the train collided. SSOAs now report this using the categories shown in the table to the right. The analyses in this section present the trend and distributions of collisions, fatalities, and injuries by each collision type. These analyses begin with CY 2011 and the expansion of collision data collection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Collision Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Auto</td>
<td>A collision between a rail transit train and a non-transit motor vehicle.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person</td>
<td>A collision between a rail transit train and a human being who is not in a motor vehicle. This category includes bicyclists.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Train</td>
<td>A collision between a rail transit train and another rail transit train or a rail transit non-revenue vehicle.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>A collision between a rail transit train and any object not fitting in any category above.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Collision Types

Because the heavy rail mode operates almost entirely in an exclusive ROW, these systems face distinct challenges from light rail and streetcar modes that operate among motor vehicle traffic. To address this, the following analyses examine these two groups separately.

Figure 26. All 2015 Events
**Heavy Rail Collisions and Rates per 100M VRM by Collision Type**

- SSOAs reported train-to-person collisions more frequently than any other type of collision during the analyzed period. Train-to-person collisions account for 85% of collisions reported to FTA in 2015 and 82% of reported collisions between 2011 and 2015.

- The 16.29 train-to-person collisions per 100M VRM reported in 2015 is the highest rate reported during the analyzed period. This reflects a 23% increase in train-to-person collisions between 2014 and 2015, and an average increase in these collisions of 7.8% per year between 2011 and 2015.

- Reports of train-to-train collisions fluctuated throughout the analyzed period. The 1.81 per 100M VRM reported in 2015 reflects a 191% increase from 2014 and a 12.7% average annual increase from 2011 but is below the peak rate of 2.87 per 100M VRM reported in 2012.

- Heavy rail service averaged 0.44 train-to-auto collisions per 100M VRM during the analyzed period. This relatively low number speaks to heavy rail’s very limited interaction with vehicular traffic.

- SSOAs reported 1.06 collisions per 100M VRM between heavy rail trains and other objects in 2015. These objects may include work equipment, bumping posts, or debris on the right-of-way.
Heavy Rail Collision Fatalities and Rates per 100M VRM by Collision Type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Collision Type</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>Avg</th>
<th>Trend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Auto</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Train</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 28. Heavy Rail Collision Fatalities and Rates per 100M VRM by Collision Type

- Nearly all heavy rail collision fatalities resulted from train-to-person collisions, including all 11 such fatalities reported in 2015.

- SSOAs reported two fatalities from collisions between heavy rail trains and other objects in 2011. No reportable fatalities resulted from train-to-auto or train-to-train collisions between 2011 and 2015.

- The most recent heavy rail train-to-train collision with fatalities was the June 2009 Washington, DC, Metro collision. Although such collisions are rare, they can have severe consequences.

Figure 29. Heavy Rail Collision Fatalities by Collision Type
In 2015, 94% of collision injuries resulted from train-to-person collisions. Between 2011 and 2015, the majority of reported collision injuries resulted from these types of collisions.

The 12.22 injuries per 100M VRM from collisions between heavy rail trains and persons in 2015 is the highest rate reported for such collisions in the analyzed period. This reflects an increase of 43% over the corresponding injury rate in 2014 and an average increase of 10% per year between 2011 and 2015.

SSOAs reported 26 injuries from train-to-auto collisions in 2011, accounting for 84% of injuries from these collisions in the analyzed period. These collisions, while uncommon, resulted in the most injuries per collision during the analyzed period — 2.21 injuries per collision.

SSOAs reported 36 injuries from train-to-train collisions in 2013, accounting for 68% of injuries from these collisions in the analyzed period.

SSOAs reported 39 injuries from collisions between heavy rail trains and other objects in 2014, accounting for 76% of injuries from these collisions in the analyzed period. These collisions, while uncommon, resulted in 1.76 injuries per collision between 2011 and 2015.
Collision Injuries, 2011-2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Auto</th>
<th>Person</th>
<th>Train</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>314</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Injuries per Collision, 2011-2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Auto</th>
<th>Person</th>
<th>Train</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>1.76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 31. Heavy Rail Collision Injury Totals and Injuries per Collision by Collision Type

Auto

- 2011: 4.17
- 2012: 0.48
- 2013: 0.16
- 2014: 0.16
- 2015: 0.00

Person

- 2011: 8.33
- 2012: 10.99
- 2013: 8.84
- 2014: 8.54
- 2015: 12.22

Train

- 2011: 5.58
- 2012: 1.11
- 2013: 0.78
- 2014: 0.45
- 2015: 0.32

Other

- 2011: 0.32
- 2012: 0.00
- 2013: 1.24
- 2014: 0.32
- 2015: 0.30

Figure 32. Heavy Rail Collision Injury Rate per 100M VRM by Collision Type
Light Rail and Streetcar Collisions and Rates per 100M VRM by Collision Type

In 2015, 76% of all collisions reported by SSOAs were collisions between trains and motor vehicles. Across the entire analyzed period, train-to-auto collisions occurred more often than all other types of collision combined.

The 2015 rate of 546.48 train-to-auto collisions per 100M VRM reflects a 19.4% increase from 2014 and an average increase of 5.5% per year between 2011 and 2015. Collisions between rail vehicles and motor vehicles increased each year from 2011 to 2015.

Train-to-person collisions were the second most commonly reported collision type in each year of the analyzed period, accounting for 19% of reported collisions for these modes in 2015.

The 2015 rate of 136.84 train-to-person collisions per 100M VRM is the highest rate for these types of collisions reported during the analyzed period. This represents a 32.5% increase since 2014 and an average increase of 6.5% per year between 2011 and 2015.
Light Rail and Streetcar Collision Fatalities and Rates per 100M VRM by Collision Type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Collision Type</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>Avg</th>
<th>Trend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Auto</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Train</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Though SSOAs reported train-to-auto collisions far more frequently than other types of collisions for light rail and streetcar modes, between 2011 and 2015 only 3.64 fatalities per 100 VRM resulted from these collisions.

- Train-to-person collisions accounted for 81% of all collision fatalities for these modes in 2015 and for the entire analyzed period.

- SSOAs reported no fatalities from light rail or streetcar train-to-train collisions between 2011 and 2015.
Collisions between trains and motor vehicles accounted for 69% of all reported collision injuries for these modes in 2015, and 75% of reported collision injuries for these modes during the analyzed period.

In 2015, SSOAs reported 210.12 injuries from train-to-auto collisions for every 100M VRM, the lowest rate of injuries from such collisions during the analyzed period.

Train-to-person collision injuries fluctuated throughout the analyzed period. The 2015 rate of 71.51 injuries from these collisions per 100M VRM represents a 31.6% increase from 2014 but reflects a 1.7% average annual decrease since 2011.

SSOAs reported 22 injuries from collisions between trains and other objects in 2015, accounting for 59% of all such reported collision injuries for these modes during the analyzed period.

SSOAs reported a higher number of train-to-train collision injuries per collision than from other collision types during the analyzed period. However, the overall count of reportable train-to-train collision injuries was lower than comparable totals for train-to-auto and train-to-person collisions due to the low number of train-to-train collisions.
Figure 37. Light Rail and Streetcar Collision Injury Totals and Injuries per Collision by Collision Type

Figure 38. Light Rail and Streetcar Collision Injury Rate per 100M VRM by Collision Type
Events by Probable Cause

SSOAs report probable cause data with each event report, using one of eleven preset categories. The FTA groups these data into the four categories shown in the table below and as detailed in Appendix A. The following analyses present the trends and distribution of events, fatalities, and injuries for each causal category. Additional analyses present the distribution of fatalities and injuries by the causal category of the source event for each person type. Suicide and trespasser events have been excluded from the latter analyses where indicated.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Probable Cause Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Workforce or Infrastructure</td>
<td>Events resulting from these causes are primarily due to substandard conditions of the RTA vehicles and infrastructure or to employee rules compliance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer Behavior</td>
<td>Events resulting from these causes are primarily due to the actions of customers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Behavior</td>
<td>Events resulting from these causes are primarily due to the actions of the public, either as pedestrians or in automobiles. These include all suicide attempts and trespasser events.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>Events resulting from causes that do not fit in the categories above and cannot be attributed to the RTA.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Probable Cause Categories
Public behavior, including suicide attempts and trespassing, caused 63% of reportable events in 2015. The 2015 public behavior event rate of 112.4 events per 100M VRM reflects a 17.6% increase from 2014 and an average increase of 6.9% per year since 2007.

Workforce behavior and infrastructure condition caused 19% of reportable events in 2015. The 34.25 reported workforce and infrastructure events per 100M VRM in 2015 is the highest rate reported in the analyzed period. Between 2008 and 2012, SSOAs generally reported fewer workforce and infrastructure events each year. Since 2012, the workforce and infrastructure event rate has increased an average of 25.6% per year.

The 2015 rate of 23.09 customer behavior events per 100M VRM reflects a 12.9% increase from 2014 and an average increase of 11.0% per year since 2007. After a notable increase between 2009 and 2010, the customer behavior event rate averaged a 5.6% annual increase from 2010 to 2015.
Suicide attempts and trespasser events constitute 59% of fatalities reported by SSOAs in 2015.

Fatalities from suicide attempts and trespassing occurred over five times as often as fatalities due to other public behavior over the nine-year period. SSOAs reported 11.72 fatalities due to suicides and trespassing per 100M VRM during this timeframe.

SSOAs reported 7.13 fatalities per 100M VRM during the analyzed period when suicide attempts and trespasser events are excluded from the results. After this exclusion, public behavior caused 2.31 fatalities per 100M VRM during this timeframe.

With suicide attempts and trespassing excluded, more fatalities result from customer behavior than any other reported cause during the analyzed period, with a rate of 2.85 per 100M VRM.

Customer behavior caused 75% of the patron fatalities reported during the nine-year period.
Figure 41. Fatalities by Person Type and Probable Cause Excluding Suicide and Trespasser Fatalities
### Figure 42. Injuries and Rates per 100M VRM by Probable Cause

- Most (55%) injuries reported by SSOAs between 2007 and 2015, including suicide attempts and trespassing, resulted from public behavior.

- Public behavior caused 48.77 injuries per 100M VRM during this time period.

- Across the entire nine-year period, workforce behavior and infrastructure condition caused 24% of reportable injuries, making it the second most frequent cause of injury. However, in 2015, customer behavior events accounted for the second most reportable injuries (170), surpassing workforce and infrastructure events (147) for the first time between 2007 and 2015.

- Customer behavior caused 21.81 injuries per 100M VRM in 2015, reflecting a 62.0% increase from 2014 and an average increase of 9.7% per year between 2007 and 2015. Customer behavior caused 66% of patron injuries during this timeframe.

- Workforce behavior and infrastructure condition caused 18.86 injuries per 100M VRM in 2015, reflecting a 2.2% decrease from 2014 and an average decrease of 0.9% per year between 2007 and 2015. After increasing each year from 2007 to 2009, the reportable workforce and infrastructure injury rate decreased an average of 9.6% per year between 2009 and 2015.

- During the nine-year period, workforce behavior and infrastructure condition caused 40% of reportable passenger injuries.

#### Injuries and Rates per 100M VRM by Probable Cause

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Workforce / Infrastructure</strong></td>
<td>144</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>159.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Customer Behavior</strong></td>
<td>74</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>95.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Public Behavior</strong></td>
<td>324</td>
<td>377</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>387</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>360.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Suicide or Trespasser</strong></td>
<td>22</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>52.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other</strong></td>
<td>27</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>37.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Injuries, 2015

- Public Behavior: 42% (307)
- Customer Behavior: 23% (170)
- Workforce / Infrastructure: 20% (147)
- Suicide or Trespasser: 9% (69)
- Other: 5% (39)

#### Injury Rate, 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Workforce / Infrastructure</strong></td>
<td>20.25</td>
<td>30.87</td>
<td>34.46</td>
<td>24.28</td>
<td>13.17</td>
<td>14.55</td>
<td>13.95</td>
<td>13.46</td>
<td>21.81</td>
<td>12.96</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Public Behavior</strong></td>
<td>45.56</td>
<td>51.49</td>
<td>45.32</td>
<td>53.08</td>
<td>51.22</td>
<td>49.54</td>
<td>43.04</td>
<td>51.61</td>
<td>48.23</td>
<td>48.77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Suicide or Trespasser</strong></td>
<td>3.09</td>
<td>5.60</td>
<td>8.85</td>
<td>6.86</td>
<td>6.94</td>
<td>8.23</td>
<td>8.10</td>
<td>6.47</td>
<td>8.85</td>
<td>7.03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other</strong></td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>6.03</td>
<td>11.94</td>
<td>9.74</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>4.62</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>5.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 43. Injuries by Person Type and Probable Cause
Appendix A. Definitions

Collision Type

For every reportable collision, SSOAs identify the object with which the rail transit train collided using one of the following categories:

- **Auto**: A non-transit motor vehicle
- **Person**: A human being who is not within a motor vehicle, including bicyclists
- **Train**: Another rail transit train, or a transit non-revenue vehicle
- **Other**: Any other object

Event

States require rail transit agencies to notify them within two hours of any event meeting the notification thresholds established at 49 C.F.R. § 659.33(a). The following thresholds define a reportable event:

- A fatality, as defined below;
- Injuries, as defined below, to two or more individuals;
- Property damage to rail transit vehicles, non-rail transit vehicles, other rail transit property or facilities, or non-transit property that equals or exceeds $25,000;
- An evacuation for reasons of life safety;
- A mainline derailment;
- A collision with an individual on a rail right-of-way; and
- A collision between a rail transit vehicle and a second rail transit vehicle or a rail transit non-revenue vehicle.

Event Type

SSOAs categorize every reportable event into one of the following five groups:

- **Non-RGX Collisions**: A reportable collision between a rail transit vehicle and any object or person that does NOT occur at a rail grade crossing or street intersection.
• **RGX Collisions:** A reportable collision between a rail transit vehicle and any other object or person that occurs at a rail grade crossing, including street intersections where trains are running at-grade.

• **Derailments:** Derailment of a rail transit vehicle from mainline or non-revenue track.

• **Fires:** Fires on transit agency property.

• **Other:** Any other event that surpasses the thresholds defined in the events section above. This report categorizes all suicide- and trespasser-related events as *other events*.

**Fatality**

Loss of life due to injuries sustained during a rail transit event, either confirmed at the scene of a rail transit event or within 30 days. This threshold is defined in 49 C.F.R. § 659.33(a).

**Injury**

Injuries sustained during a rail transit event that require immediate medical attention away from the scene. This threshold is defined at 49 C.F.R. § 659.33(a), and the data in this report reflects this definition.

Note that FTA has since promulgated 49 C.F.R. Part 674, which establishes a new definition of injury for the SSO program and SSOAs. As States move under the new rule, the injury threshold for investigation will change from “injury” to “serious injury.” 49 C.F.R. § 674.7 defines a serious injury as any injury that

- Requires hospitalization for more than 48 hours, commencing within seven days from the date the injury was received;

- Results in a fracture of any bone (except simple fractures of fingers, toes, or nose);

- Causes severe hemorrhages, or nerve, muscle, or tendon damage;

- Involves any internal organ; or

- Involves second- or third-degree burns, or any burns affecting more than five percent of the body surface.
Mode

A mode is a system for carrying passengers defined by a specific right-of-way, technology, and operational feature. As part of the SSO program, every SSOA identifies the modes operated by the transit agencies they oversee. For each reportable event, the SSOA identifies the applicable mode. Each mode is defined below:

- **Heavy Rail**: An electric railway that typically operates in long trains (six or more cars) on an exclusive right-of-way. (Note: One heavy rail system, the Port Authority Trans-Hudson (PATH), is regulated by the FRA and is not part of the SSO program).

- **Light Rail**: An electric railway that typically operates in short trains (up to four cars) on a combination of mixed traffic and exclusive rights-of-way with grade crossings.

- **Streetcar**: A rail system that typically operates in single-car trains with electric propulsion on mixed traffic rights-of-way.

- **Hybrid Rail**: A rail system that typically operates in short trains (up to four cars) with either electric or diesel propulsion on the national system of railroads. (Note: Three hybrid rail systems, the Portland Tri-Met Westside Express, the Austin Capital MetroRail, and the Denton County A-Train, are regulated by the FRA and are not included in the SSO program).

- **Cable Car**: A rail system that operates in single-car trains propelled by cables beneath the street on mixed traffic rights-of-way.

- **Inclined Plane**: A rail system operating on steep grades with vehicles powered by moving cables.

- **Monorail/Automated Guideway**: A rail system that typically operates in trains on a single rail guideway in an exclusive right-of-way.
This report groups modes into four categories for analysis as shown below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modal Category</th>
<th>Mode Detail</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heavy Rail</td>
<td>Heavy Rail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light Rail</td>
<td>Light Rail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hybrid Rail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streetcar</td>
<td>Streetcar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>Cable Car</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inclined Plane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Monorail/Automated Guideway</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Person Type**

SSOAs categorize all fatalities and injuries resulting from reportable events into one of the following four groups:

- **Passengers**: Customers who are either onboard a rail transit vehicle or in the process of boarding or alighting.

- **Patrons**: Customers waiting for or leaving rail transit at stations, in mezzanines, on stairs, escalators, elevators, in parking lots, or on other transit agency property.

- **Workers**: Rail transit agency employees or contractors.

- **Public**: People who come into contact with the rail transit system excluding customers and transit workers, such as pedestrians, automobile drivers, and trespassers. This report categorizes suicide and trespasser fatalities and injuries as public.

**Probable Cause**

SSOAs report a probable cause for all events by selecting from a predefined list of causes. These categories reflect the terms used in the rail transit industry to describe the proximate cause of an event. Each cause is identified below:

- **Equipment failure**: Failure of a system component

- **Rule violations/Human factors**: Employee error or organizational issues
- **Poor maintenance**: Failures arising due to inadequate maintenance
- **Slips and falls**: A person slipping or falling in a station or transit vehicle
- **Action of motorist**: Behavior of the driver of a non-transit vehicle
- **Imprudent customer actions**: Inappropriate behavior by a transit customer
- **Pedestrian actions**: Behavior of a person who is not a transit employee or customer
- **Suicides**: Suicide attempts that result in a reportable event
- **Trespassing**: Behavior of a person trespassing on transit agency property
- **Medically related**: The medical condition of a person results in a reportable event, including a person found dead on transit agency property
- **Other**: Acts of nature or unknown causes

This report groups probable causes into four categories for analysis as shown below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RSDR Probable Cause</th>
<th>SSO Program Probable Cause</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Workforce or Infrastructure</td>
<td>Equipment Failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rule Violations/Human Factors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Poor Maintenance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer Behavior</td>
<td>Slips and Falls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Imprudent Customer Actions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Behavior</td>
<td>Actions of Motorists</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pedestrian Actions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Suicides</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trespassing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>Medically Related</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Data Coding Examples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Event Type</th>
<th>Fatality/Injury and Person Type</th>
<th>Probable Cause</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A trespasser walking on the train tracks is hit from behind by a train and killed.</td>
<td>Other*</td>
<td>1 fatality (public*)</td>
<td>Trespassing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A track inspector on the right-of-way is struck and killed by a train due to a driver not stopping at a signal.</td>
<td>Non-RGX Collision</td>
<td>1 fatality (worker)</td>
<td>Rule Violations/Human Factors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A rider waiting for a train leans too far over the station platform and is struck by the train and killed.</td>
<td>Non-RGX Collision</td>
<td>1 fatality (patron)</td>
<td>Imprudent Customer Actions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A passenger on a train is killed when one train collides with another train due to a brake malfunction.</td>
<td>Non-RGX Collision</td>
<td>1 fatality (passenger)</td>
<td>Equipment Failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A motor vehicle runs a red light and collides with a train at a rail grade crossing, killing the occupant of the motor vehicle and injuring the train operator and a single train passenger.</td>
<td>RGX Collision</td>
<td>1 fatality (public) 1 injury (worker) 1 injury (passenger)</td>
<td>Actions of Motorist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A person commits suicide by throwing himself in front of a train from a station platform.</td>
<td>Other*</td>
<td>1 fatality (public*)</td>
<td>Suicides</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Four people are injured in a pile-up at the bottom of a station escalator that began due to a person falling.</td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>4 injuries (patron)</td>
<td>Slips and Falls</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Because these events are suicide or trespasser related, this report categorizes the event type as “other” and the person type as “public,” even if SSOAs reported the event or person type differently.
# List of Current RTAs Included in FTA’s State Safety Oversight Program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State Safety Oversight Agency</th>
<th>Rail Transit Agency</th>
<th>Mode(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arizona Department of Transportation</td>
<td>Valley Metro Rail (METRO)</td>
<td>Light Rail, Streetcar*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sun Link Transit System (Tucson Streetcar)</td>
<td>Streetcar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arkansas State Highway and Transportation Department</td>
<td>Central Arkansas Transit Authority (River Rail Streetcar)</td>
<td>Streetcar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Public Utilities Commission</td>
<td>Bay Area Rapid Transit District (BART)</td>
<td>Heavy Rail, Automated Guideway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (Metro Rail)</td>
<td>Heavy Rail, Light Rail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>North County Transit District (SPRINTER)</td>
<td>Hybrid Rail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Orange County Transportation Authority (OC Streetcar*)</td>
<td>Streetcar*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sacramento Regional Transit District (RTD)</td>
<td>Light Rail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sacramento Streetcar (Downtown Riverfront Streetcar*)</td>
<td>Streetcar*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>San Diego Trolley, Inc. (San Diego Trolley)</td>
<td>Light Rail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>San Francisco Municipal Railway (MUNI)</td>
<td>Light Rail, Cable Car Streetcar2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority (VTA)</td>
<td>Light Rail</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* System in Engineering/Construction

2 Prior to 2013, SSO annual reporting did not distinguish between events at light rail and streetcar modes. For this report, analysts classified data submitted for San Francisco Municipal Railway’s streetcar data as a light rail in order to have consistent data from 2007–2015.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State Safety Oversight Agency</th>
<th>Rail Transit Agency</th>
<th>Mode(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Colorado Public Utilities Commission</td>
<td>Denver Regional Transit District (RTD)</td>
<td>Light Rail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District of Columbia Fire and Emergency Medical Services</td>
<td>District Department of Transportation (DC Streetcar)</td>
<td>Streetcar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida Department of Transportation</td>
<td>Miami-Dade Transit Authority (Miami-Dade Transit)</td>
<td>Heavy Rail Automated Guideway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jacksonville Transportation Authority (Skyway)</td>
<td>Automated Guideway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hillsborough Area Regional Transit Authority (TECO Line Streetcar)</td>
<td>Streetcar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South Florida Regional Transportation Authority (The Wave Streetcar*)</td>
<td>Streetcar*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia Department of Transportation</td>
<td>Metropolitan Atlanta Rapid Transit Authority (MARTA)</td>
<td>Heavy Rail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Atlanta Streetcar</td>
<td>Streetcar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawaii Department of Transportation</td>
<td>Honolulu Authority for Rapid Transportation (HART*)</td>
<td>Heavy Rail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois Department of Transportation / Regional Transportation Authority³</td>
<td>Chicago Transit Authority (CTA)</td>
<td>Heavy Rail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development</td>
<td>New Orleans Regional Transit Authority (St. Charles, Canal Street, and Riverfront Streetcar)</td>
<td>Streetcar</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ System in Engineering/Construction
³ The Regional Transportation Authority is responsible for meeting 49 C.F.R. Part 659 requirements only; the Illinois Department of Transportation is responsible for meeting the requirements of MAP-21.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State Safety Oversight Agency</th>
<th>Rail Transit Agency</th>
<th>Mode(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maryland Department of Transportation</td>
<td>Maryland Transit Administration (MTA Light Rail and Metro Subway)</td>
<td>Heavy Rail, Light Rail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland Transit Administration (Purple Line*)</td>
<td>Light Rail*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities</td>
<td>Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority (The T)</td>
<td>Heavy Rail, Light Rail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan Department of Transportation</td>
<td>Detroit People Mover (DPM)</td>
<td>Automated Guideway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M-1 Rail QLINE</td>
<td>Streetcar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota Department of Public Safety</td>
<td>Metro Transit (METRO)</td>
<td>Light Rail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri Department of Transportation/ St. Clair County Transit District</td>
<td>Loop Trolley Transportation Development District (The Loop Trolley*)</td>
<td>Streetcar*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>City of Kansas City, Missouri (KC Streetcar)</td>
<td>Streetcar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>St. Louis Metro (MetroLink)</td>
<td>Light Rail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey Department of Transportation</td>
<td>New Jersey Transit (Newark Light Rail)</td>
<td>Light Rail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>New Jersey Transit (Hudson-Bergen Light Rail)</td>
<td>Light Rail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>New Jersey Transit (River Line)</td>
<td>Hybrid Rail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Port Authority Transit Corporation (PATCO)</td>
<td>Heavy Rail</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* System in Engineering/Construction
4 The Missouri Department of Transportation and St. Clair County Transit District share oversight responsibilities for the St. Louis Metro system.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State Safety Oversight Agency</th>
<th>Rail Transit Agency</th>
<th>Mode(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| New York Public Transportation Safety Board | Metropolitan Transportation Authority  
New York City Transit | Heavy Rail |
|                               | Niagara Frontier Transit Authority (Metro Rail) | Light Rail |
| North Carolina Department of Transportation | Charlotte Area Transit System (Lynx and Charlotte Streetcar) | Light Rail  
Streetcar |
| Ohio Department of Transportation | Greater Cleveland Regional Transit Authority (RTA Rapid Transit) | Heavy Rail  
Light Rail |
|                               | Southwest Ohio Regional Transit Authority (Cincinnati Streetcar) | Streetcar |
| Oklahoma Department of Transportation | Oklahoma City Streetcar (OKC Streetcar*) | Streetcar* |
| Oregon Department of Transportation | Portland TriMet (MAX) | Light Rail  
Portland Streetcar | Streetcar⁵ |
| Pennslyvania Department of Transportation | Cambria County Transit Authority  
(Johnstown Inclined Plane) | Inclined Plane |
|                              | Port Authority of Allegheny County (The T and Monongahela Incline and Duquesne Incline) | Light Rail  
Inclined Plane |
|                              | Southeastern Pennsylvania Transit Authority  
(Market Frankford Line and Broadstreet Subway, Subway Surface) | Heavy Rail  
Streetcar |

* System in Engineering/Construction  
⁵ Prior to 2011, NTD received service data for Portland Streetcar via Portland TriMet’s annual report, which combined it with light rail. In order to have consistent data for 2007–2015 for this report, analysts classified Portland Streetcar as a light rail mode.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State Safety Oversight Agency</th>
<th>Rail Transit Agency</th>
<th>Mode(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Puerto Rico Emergency and Disaster Management Agency</td>
<td>Tren Urbano</td>
<td>Heavy Rail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennessee Department of Transportation</td>
<td>Chattanooga Area Regional Transportation Authority (Lookout Mountain Incline Railway)</td>
<td>Inclined Plane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Memphis Area Transit Authority (MATA)</td>
<td>Streetcar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Department of Transportation</td>
<td>Dallas Area Rapid Transit (DART)</td>
<td>Light Rail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Galveston Island Transit (Galveston Island Trolley)*</td>
<td>Streetcar*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>McKinney Avenue Transit Authority (McKinney Avenue Trolley)*</td>
<td>Streetcar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Metropolitan Transit Authority of Harris County (Houston Metro)</td>
<td>Light Rail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>North Central Texas Council of Governments (Dallas Streetcar)</td>
<td>Streetcar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tri-State Oversight Committee (FTA WMATA Safety Oversight)*7</td>
<td>Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority (Metro/WMATA)</td>
<td>Heavy Rail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utah Department of Transportation</td>
<td>Utah Transit Authority (TRAX)</td>
<td>Light Rail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia Department of Rail and Public Transit</td>
<td>Hampton Roads Transit (The Tide)</td>
<td>Light Rail</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* System in Engineering/Construction
*6 Did not report event data for the 2013–2015 reporting years.
7 WMATA is overseen by the FTA WMATA Safety Oversight Office until such time as the three jurisdictions (the District of Columbia, the State of Maryland, and the Commonwealth of Virginia) stand up an oversight agency with adequate enforcement authorities and expertise.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State Safety Oversight Agency</th>
<th>Rail Transit Agency</th>
<th>Mode(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Washington State Department of Transportation</td>
<td>Seattle Center Monorail (Seattle Monorail)</td>
<td>Automated Guideway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sound Transit (Link)</td>
<td>Light Rail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sound Transit (Tacoma Link)</td>
<td>Streetcar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South Lake Union Streetcar (Seattle Streetcar)</td>
<td>Streetcar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Virginia Division of Public Transit</td>
<td>Morgantown Personal Rapid Transit (PRT)(^8)</td>
<td>Automated Guideway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin Department of Transportation</td>
<td>Kenosha Area Transit (Kenosha Streetcar)</td>
<td>Streetcar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>City of Milwaukee (The Milwaukee Streetcar(^*)</td>
<td>Streetcar(^*)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^8\) Did not report event data for the 2013–2015 reporting years.
\(^*\) System in Engineering/Construction
Appendix B. Data Management Practices

For the years reviewed in this report, the SSO program captured event and associated probable causes for all events meeting the thresholds established in 49 C.F.R. § 659.33. Part 659 requires SSOAs to investigate (or trigger the investigation of) any event meeting at least one of the thresholds. Please see Table 2 of this report for these thresholds.

During the same period, the NTD program used different reporting thresholds for rail transit agencies. As a result, there are events in the NTD that are not represented in the SSO program dataset (no SSOA investigation was required). And, similarly, there are some events that required SSOA investigation but did not meet NTD reporting thresholds.

The RSDR relies on probable cause data for its analyses. For this reason, the report uses SSO program event data and not NTD data.

Since FTA’s last publication of SSO rail safety performance data in 2010, the Government Accountability Office (GAO) and the USDOT Office of Inspector General (OIG) have both issued recommendations to FTA regarding safety and security data management practices. The FTA responded to the GAO and OIG concerns through actions to address safety data issues as detailed in the text that follows.

The FTA has executed the Legacy Data Project to resolve discrepancies between the older SSO and NTD datasets. The FTA analysts have compared each safety and security event reported to the SSO program against all events reported to the NTD between 2007 and 2011. These efforts have resulted in a revised SSO Rail Event Database based on the cross-validation and subsequent data confirmation with SSOAs.

The FTA reviewed the datasets to identify discrepancies, such as events reported in one database and not the other, as well as discrepancies in specific fields, including date, location, event type, injury/fatality count, person type, property damage, and collision details. The FTA identified approximately 1,300 issues for reconciliation.

The FTA conducted an outreach effort to review all identified issues with representatives at the responsible SSOAs. SSOAs resubmitted event information where applicable, and FTA updated the SSO Rail Event Database based on these revised data.

In 2011, FTA revised its SSO program Excel-based event data collection tool to include systematic internal controls and validation checks to prevent data submission errors. The data tool includes logic that informs users of data issues
and notifies them when no issues remain in entered data and the report is ready for submission to FTA.

Beginning with 2012, FTA has implemented a cross-comparison between NTD and SSO datasets to resolve discrepancies. The FTA has executed changes to NTD reporting thresholds and definitions to support this capability. The FTA also developed the SSO Rail Event Database revision procedures. These procedures strengthen the SSO Rail Event Database internal controls by strictly defining how records can be updated. Under these stricter procedures, FTA only updates SSO rail event data following a formal submission from an SSOA. The FTA uses these procedures for any modifications of the dataset, including revisions resulting from the Legacy Data Project.

In 2014, FTA launched its web-based data collection module to streamline reporting for SSOAs, increase transparency, and enforce enhanced form-level data validation and controls.

**Current Data Management Activity**

FTA is currently in the process of further integrating its SSO program and NTD safety data collection mechanisms. When complete, this integration effort will provide SSOAs with access to event records their overseen rail transit agencies have submitted to the NTD and will allow SSOAs to add investigation details, such as probable cause data. This effort will reduce overall reporting burden on SSOAs and will improve data quality by identifying discrepancies earlier and resolving them sooner. These changes help FTA release updates to the RSDR and produce other safety analyses on a faster schedule than was supported by the previous data structure.
Appendix C. Methodology

Rationale for Study Period

The current version of 49 C.F.R. Part 659 has been in effect since May 1, 2006. The amendments to those rules include significant changes to the thresholds that define reportable events and affect event reporting and investigation requirements. Although some SSOAs submitted their 2006 annual reports in compliance with the new requirements, others had not tracked events that occurred prior to May 2006 in accordance with the new thresholds. As such, 2007 marks the first full year that the SSO community uniformly applied the revised Part 659 reporting criteria. Thus, data from 2007 are the first data available for use in this analysis.

Data Collection

Part 659 requires SSOAs to provide annual reports to FTA. These annual reports include data related to events defined by 49 C.F.R. § 659.33(a), which is summarized in the event definition in Appendix A.

Ongoing Data Validation

Since 2010, FTA has used event data submitted to the National Transit Database (NTD) by rail transit agencies to validate event data submitted by SSOAs. While the NTD data do not include causal information, FTA can use a rail transit agency's NTD event report to validate event details reported by SSOAs.

The FTA evaluates each event submitted by an SSOA to ensure that the information is not in conflict with data submitted by rail transit agencies to the NTD. FTA analysts coordinate with States and rail transit agencies to resolve discrepancies. Depending on the discrepancy, the rail transit agency may revise an NTD report, or, alternatively, the SSOA may resubmit event data to the SSO program.

Data Analysis

Once SSO program event data have been collected and validated, FTA analyzes the data using conventional techniques in order to characterize rail transit event trends across the industry, including:

- Fatalities
- Injuries
• Person type of fatalities and injuries
• Rail transit mode
• Event type
• Object collided with (collision events for heavy rail, light rail, and streetcar mode categories only)
• Probable cause (in causal groups)

Unless otherwise noted, this report calculates annual average percentage change over multiple years as

\[ r = \left( \frac{C_a}{C_b} \right)^{\frac{1}{y}} - 1, \]

which is a transformation of

\[ C_a = C_b (1 + r)^y. \]

\( C_a \) is the count in the later year; \( C_b \) is the count in the earlier year; \( r \) is the annual average rate of change; \( y \) is the number of years between the two counts.

In the calculation, \( r \) reflects a uniform annual change rate across a \( y \) year period, with the first-year count \( C_a \) and the last-year count \( C_b \). This calculation method results in a number that is more comparable to a single year percentage change than the results of other calculation methods.

**Data Normalization**

The FTA normalizes data prior to some data analyses in order to account for the different amounts of service provided by each mode and the rail transit industry across time. The FTA calculates a rate per 100M VRM for all standardization in these analyses.

FTA analysts obtain VRM data from NTD’s annual reporting service module. Rail transit agencies report these data on NTD form S-10 for each reporting year.