MDOT MTA Asset Management Pilot

Field Inventory Verification

Justin M. Barclay

Program Manager – MDOT MTA Transit Asset Management



MDOT MTA Asset Management





Goal is to Use Data to Make Better Decisions





Asset Data Challenges

- Inconsistent records on assets owned by MDOT MTA
- Unknown asset data attributes
- Incompatible Maryland State, TERM, and FTA/NTD asset hierarchies
- Not up-to-date
 - o Annual snapshot
 - Records coming from multiple sources
 - Not all records are in "system of record"



Asset Management System Pilot at Eastern Bus Division



Scope of an Asset Management System



MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION MARYLAND TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Focus of an Asset Management System



us do everything better?

How does this data help

MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Whole Agency At Once





Eastern Bus Division Pilot



Proposed Approach

Pilot for Eastern Bus Depot

Scope: 3 Buildings / Facilities, 2 Parking Lots, ~175 Buses, Numerous Systems

Milestone	Comprehensive Asset Inventory	Condition and Performance Analysis	Asset Management Strategies	Repeatable Processes	Software Requirements
Description	Build a data foundation	Analyze asset condition and performance	Develop lifecycle plans	Document workflows	Plan Maximo configuration changes
Target End Date	August 2018	February 2019	July 2019	August 2019	October 2019
Department Responsibilities	Identify fatal flaws in inventory hierarchy and standard attributes	Identify fatal flaws in condition and performance analysis requirements and approaches	Help identify strategies for achieving asset condition and performance targets	Help develop SOPs related to inventory maintenance, condition and performance assessment	Identify fatal flaws in approaches to software configuration



Field Verification & Condition Assessment

for 3 Buildings / Facilities, 2 Parking Lots, ~175 Buses, Numerous Systems



Lessons Learned.

- Preplan the site visit
- Mobile app is essential
- Data that we thought was good was actually not
- Field verification increases data confidence
- Greater confidence in data = better confidence in analysis



Asset Hierarchy

Example:





Field Verification & Condition Assessment



Attributes collected in-field

- Unique Object ID
- Location of Asset
- Description
- Manufacturer/Make
- Model Number
- Serial Number
- Year Manufactured or Age
- Physical Condition
- Dimensions Features
- Picture of Asset





Condition Assessment Approach



Site/Facility Condition Assessment

• Visual/Physical Score (1-5 scale)

M TTMARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF TRANS

MARYLAND TRANSIT ADMINISTRATIO



Revenue Vehicle Condition Assessment

- Visual/Physical Score (1-5 scale)
- Age Score (1-5 scale)
- Mileage Score (1-5 scale)
- Maintenance History Score (1-5 scale)

Overall Facility Condition Score (1-5 scale)

Overall Bus Condition Score (1-5 scale)



Desktop Review and Data Infill after Site Visit

- Data Additions Off Site:
 - Replacement Cost
 - Soft Cost
 - Age
 - Useful Life
 - Maintenance History
- Sources: RSMeans, MTA internal records, Maximo, Maintenance Staff



Building Construction Costs with RSMeans data

Analysis of the Data (10/20/2018 inventory)

Facility Age as % of Useful Life Benchmark by Systems

■ >=200% ■ 100%-200% ■ 80%-100% ■ 60%-80% ■ 40%-60% ■ 20%-40% ■ 0-20%





Lessons Learned & Next Steps



Summary of Findings

- **Pre-plan**ning for field inventory verification/condition assessment is critical to efficiency... and it's difficult the first time around
- A **small team** is best to the conduct site visit including data collectors, SMEs, Facilities Maintenance, and Asset Management experts
- We discovered the original asset data had significant flaws including inaccurate data attributes
- After the desktop analysis of the data collected, only 35% of the assets (by count) from the original registry still existed and there were an additional 136 new assets not in our agency-wide asset registry



Next Steps

- Assess Functional Condition of the Assets
- Determine Criticality for the Assets
- Develop Risk Scale (Overall Condition X Overall Criticality = Risk Score)
- Update Lifecycle Plans
- Pilot SOP for Inventory Maintenance for entire Bus Mode and perform field inventory verification for all of Bus
 - Adapt for each additional mode



Thank You! Questions?

Justin M. Barclay Program Manager, Transit Asset Management jbarclay@mta.maryland.gov

