FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

PROJECT MANAGEMENT OVERSIGHT PROGRAM

Contract No. DTFT60-04-D-00012 Project No. DC-27-5006 Task Order No. 3

Grantee: METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY SECOND AVENUE SUBWAY (MTACC-SAS)

REGION II MAJOR CAPITAL PROJECTS

Monthly Report – Part I June 2009

Urban Engineers of New York, P.C. 350 Fifth Avenue, Suite 6024 New York, New York 10118

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page No. SECOND AVENUE SUBWAY PROJECT SECTION III TABLE OF CONTENTSi LIST OF ACRONYMSii EXECUTIVE SUMMARY 1 B. E. G. Major Issues/Problems 4 II. ACTION ITEMS – ITEMS FOR GRANTEE ACTION 8 III. OBSERVATIONS AND CURRENT ISSUES...... 13

OST Project Status Report – separate submittal to CO, COTR and TOM via e-mail

LIST OF ACRONYMS

AFI Allowance for Indeterminates
AWO Additional Work Order

CCM Consultant Construction Manager

CPM Critical Path Method
DHA DMJM+Harris and ARUP

FD Final Design

FEIS Final Environmental Impact Statement

FFGA Full Funding Grant Agreement
FTA Federal Transit Administration
HLRP Housing of Last Resort Plan
MEP Mechanical, Electrical, Plumbing
MTA Metropolitan Transportation Authority

MTACC Metropolitan Transportation Authority – Capital Construction

N/A Not Applicable NTP Notice to Proceed

NYCDEP New York City Department of Environmental Protection

NYCT New York City Transit
PE Preliminary Engineering

PMOC Project Management Oversight Contractor (Urban Engineers)

PMP Project Management Plan PQM Project Quality Manual

RAMP Real Estate Acquisition Management Plan

ROD Revenue Operations Date TIA Time Impact Analyses

S3 Skanska, Schiavone and Shea SAS Second Avenue Subway

SCC Standard Construction Categories
SSMP Safety and Security Management Plan

SSOA State Safety Oversight Agency SSPP System Safety Program Plan

TBD To Be Determined

SECTION III SECOND AVENUE SUBWAY (SAS) PROJECT

I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

A. Project Description

- General Description: The Second Avenue Subway (SAS) project will connect Manhattan's Central Harlem area with the downtown financial district, relieving congested conditions on the Lexington Avenue line. The current project scope includes: tunneling; station/ancillary facilities; track, signal, and electrical work; vehicle procurement; and all other subway systems necessary for operation. The project consists of four phases, with Phase 1 providing an Initial Operating Segment (IOS) from 96th Street to 63rd Street, and will connect with the existing Broadway Line that extends to Lower Manhattan and Brooklyn. Subsequent phases will extend the line northward to 125th Street and to the southern terminus at Hanover Square in Lower Manhattan.
- **Length**: Phase 1 2.3 miles from 63rd Street to 105th Street. Total Project 8.5 miles from 125th Street and Lexington Avenue in Central Harlem to Lower Manhattan.
- **No. of Stations**: Total Project -16 new stations. Phase 1-two new mined stations located at 72nd and 86th Streets, One new cut and cover station at 96th Street, and modification of the existing 63rd Street Station on the Broadway Line.
- Additional Facilities: New storage tracks will be provided along the alignment and at the north and south terminals during the later phases of the project, but not as part of Phase 1.
- **Vehicles**: Total Project Approximately 224 railcars that are 75 feet long (28 new 8-car train sets). Phase 1 68 new rail cars (includes 12 spares).
- Ridership Forecast: The full length SAS is forecast to carry 560,000 daily riders in 2030. Upon completion of Phase 1, ridership is expected to be 191,000 per the MTA's Regional Travel Forecast Model.

B. Project Status

- The SAS project is trending behind schedule. The current Estimate at Completion (EAC) is significantly above the FFGA Baseline Cost Estimate. The MTACC has revised the cost estimate and project schedule and the FTA/PMOC is currently evaluating the revision.
- The PMOC is aware of a slippage of 15 months to Contract 1 (Tunnel Boring) in the actual work being performed. MTACC has requested a recovery schedule.
- The first contract (2A) for the 96th Street Station was awarded May 28, 2009. Five bids were received for Contract 5A for the 86th Street Station, with three of them below the project estimate.

C. Schedule

- Preliminary Engineering (PE): Entry into PE was approved by FTA on December 20, 2001; PE completed April 17, 2006.
- Record of Decision: Record of Decision issued on July 8, 2004.
- Final Design (FD): Entry into FD (Phase 1) was approved by FTA on April 18 2006.
- Full Funding Grant Agreement (FFGA): The FFGA was executed on November 19, 2007.
- Construction: The start of the Construction Phase was authorized with the approval of an Early Systems Work Agreement (ESWA) on January 5, 2007. The first construction contract, Contract 1 Tunnel Boring, was awarded on March 20, 2007. A Ground-Breaking ceremony was held on April 12, 2007. Through *June 30, 2009* construction is 6.28% complete based on total expenditures of Contract 1 versus total projected construction cost (all contracts) at completion.
- Project Complete: Through June 30, 2009 total Phase 1 Project percent complete is 16.80% (based on total expenditures versus Phase 1 projected cost at completion).
 Financing not included (see Section D note 2). Percent complete will be reduced when financing is included.
- Revenue Operations Date:

Phase 1 (MOS)

Proposed		Forecast Co	Actual		
FFGA	FFGA FFGA as Amended Grantee		PMO	Completion	
June 30, 2014	N/A	December 30, 2016(1)	TBD (2)	TBD	

- (1) SAS Phase 1 Integrated Construction Schedule, Revision 3, Update #29 dated January 13, 2009
- (2) Pending completion of Risk Assessment
 - Other: The Environmental Impact Statement was approved on April 8, 2004.
 - Next Quarterly Review Meeting: TBD

D. Cost Data for Phase 1

		FFGA		FFGA Amendments	Current Costs Estimate at Completion		Expenditures Thru June 30, 2009	
	(\$ Millions)	(%)	Obligated		(\$ Millions)	(%)	(\$ Millions)	Percent of Total Project Cost
Grand Total Cost:	\$4,866.614	100		N/A	\$5,163.614	100	\$730.397	14.14
Financing Cost	816.614	16.78			816.614	15.81		
Total Project Cost:	4,050.000	83.22			4,347.000	84.19		
Total Federal share:	1,350.692	27.75			1,350.692	26.16	173.929	3.36
Total FTA share:	1,300.000	96.25			1,300.000	96.25	166.489	3.22
5309 New Starts share	1,300.000	100			1,300.000	96.25	166.489	3.22
Total FHWA share:	50.692	3.75			50.692	3.75	7.440	0.14
CMAQ	48.233	95.15			48.233	95.15	4.981	0.09
Special Highway Appropriation	2.459	4.85			2.459	4.85	2.459	0.05
Total Local share:	2,699.308	55.47			2,996.308	58.03	5556.468	10.78
State share:	450.000	16.67			450.000	15.02		
Agency share:	2,249.308	83.33			2,546.308	84.98		
City share:	0	0			0	0		

⁽¹⁾ Updated to reflect Attachment 6 (Schedule of Federal Funds) from SAS FFGA

The FFGA budget included a contingency of \$629.0 million (allocated and unallocated). The contingency is distributed as follows:

AFI's and AWO's (Design, Pre-bid and Construction)
 Executive Reserve (previously Project Reserve)
 Real Estate
 Rolling Stock
 Design/Force Account
 S395 million (unallocated)
 \$160 million (unallocated)
 \$17 million (allocated)
 \$11 million (allocated)
 \$46 million (allocated)

⁽²⁾ Financial data provided monthly by MTACC and PMOC review of TEAM web.

E. Technical Capacity Review

- Project Management Plan (PMP): Updated PMP (Revision 5) for the final design/construction phase of the project was conditionally approved by the FTA on March 22, 2007. Updated PMP (Revision 6) was submitted to FTA in March 2008.
- Project Quality Manual (PQM): Updated PQM (Revision 2) for the final design/construction phase of the project was approved by the FTA on March 28, 2007.
- Bus Fleet Management Plan (BFMP): Updated BFMP dated February 2007 was conditionally accepted by the FTA in May 2007.
- Rail Fleet Management Plan (RFMP): Updated RFMP conditionally accepted by the FTA on April 24, 2007. Update of the RFMP is pending the decision whether to utilize 60 foot or 75 foot rail cars.

F. System Safety Review

The New York State Public Transportation Safety Board (PTSB) is the State Safety Oversight Agency (SSOA). Biennial re-certification of NYCT's System Safety Program Plan (SSPP) was completed in July 2008.

G. Major Issues/Problems

- The MTA estimates it will take 3-4 months to complete its legal process to relocate the tenants affected by the 72nd Street Station cavern excavation contract. If there is a legal challenge, the process could take much longer and delay the critical path cavern contract that in-turn would delay the entire program. MTA is taking appropriate action to resolve this matter and is maintaining it as a high-profile issue until it is fully resolved. [Ref.: SAS-A02-0208]
- Excavation on the east side of Second Avenue has been halted in the vicinity of the buildings located at 1766 to 1772 Second Avenue. The buildings are old and are leaning excessively. The building owners were cited by the City of New York's Department of Buildings years before any construction began to take corrective action regarding the condition of the buildings. At this time, the extent of the excavation moratorium is indeterminable, and has the potential of affecting the entire SAS project.

Attachment A - Safety Checklist - Second Avenue Subway

Areas of Focus	Y/N	Status
State Safety Oversight Agency		
Does the state have a designated State Safety Oversight Agency (SSOA) as defined in 49 CFR Part 659?	Y	New York Public Transportation Safety Board.(PTSB)
If so, does the SSOA's authority extend to pre- revenue operations?	Y	Yes, all phases.
Has the SSOA established its System Safety Program Standards (SSPS)?	Y	Standards are based on the requirements of 49 CFR Part 659.
Has the SSOA received, reviewed, and approved the Grantee's System Safety Program Plan (SSPP)?	Y	Biennial re-certification was completed in July 2008 by the PTSB.
Does SSOA participate in Project Development? Participation includes things such as: review design documents; attend review meetings; and comment on the how the safety aspects of the project are being addressed.	Y	Reference section 2.2.7 of the SAS System Safety & Reliability Plan.
Has the SSOA performed a pre-revenue safety review of the Grantee's project?	N	No
System Safety		
Is the Grantee's overall Safety Program properly documented in its Project Management Plan (PMP)?	Y	See PMP Section 3
Do the Grantee's PMP and associated Safety Program include an appropriate safety policy adopted by its top management?	Y	By approving plan
Do the Grantee's PMP and associated Safety Program establish a specific organizational entity and/or individual responsible for the Safety Program?	Y	See PMP

Attachment A - Safety Checklist - Second Avenue Subway

Areas of Focus	Y/N	Status
Do the Grantee's PMP and associated Safety Program specify staffing requirements, procedures and authority for the safety activities?	Y	See PMP Section 3
Do the Grantee's PMP and associated Safety Program include a formal Safety Certification Program (SCP)?	Y	See PMP and SAS System Safety & Reliability Plan.
Do the Grantee's PMP and associated Safety Program include the development/use of a Safety Design Criteria Manual (DCM) or equivalent documents?	Y	See DCM Chapter 26 and SAS System Safety & Reliability Plan.
Has the Grantee developed and the SSOA approved the Grantee's SSPP? What is the status of this process between the Grantee and SSOA?	Y	Biennial re-certification was completed in July 2008 by the PTSB.
Is the Grantee implementing its Safety Program as defined in the PMP? Are the safety milestones being met? (Note: This assumes that the Safety Program is properly documented in the PMP.)	Y	See Project Schedule
Construction Safety		
Is the Grantee's Construction Safety Program (CSP) documented in the PMP?	Y	Included in the Construction Phase PMP.
Has the Grantee implemented its CSP?	Y	CSP is on-going. Toolbox meetings are held to acquaint personnel with the safety requirements as defined in the General Specification. MTACC and contractor's safety personnel and the OCIP representative continue to monitor the site for compliance. Safety is discussed at each Job Progress Meeting.

Attachment A - Safety Checklist - Second Avenue Subway

Areas of Focus	Y/N	Status
How do the Grantee's OSHA statistics compare to the national average for the same type of work? If the comparison is not favorable, what actions are being taken by the Grantee to improve its safety record?	1	The recordable incidents for the project (through May 2009) continue to trend below the national average (2.36 vs. 5.89). Lost time accidents (through May 2009) are below the national average (1.18 vs. 2.27). Retraining and safety meetings are ongoing.
Is the Grantee using wrap-up insurance on this project?	Y	Owner Controlled Insurance Program (OCIP) has been implemented. Insurance coverage by Allied North America, administered by Project Technologies International, LLC.
Is the Grantee using safety incentives/disincentives on this project?	Y	Ongoing.
Shared Track		
Does this project have shared track?	N	
Has the Grantee coordinated with the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) regarding waivers for shared track usage?	N/A	
Shared Corridor		
Does this project include shared corridor? Please describe the geography of the shared corridor.	N	
What is the Grantee doing to specifically address safety concerns in the shared corridor portion of the project?	N/A	

II. ACTION ITEMS - ITEMS FOR GRANTEE ACTION

Status of Action Items

The status of Action Items is shown in the following summary chart.

Note: Items marked with a "C" in the "PMO Contractor Status" column will be dropped from future reports.

Key Item

2. XX PMO CLIN 5 - Project Management Plan

3. XX PMO CLIN 3 – Project Monitoring

Legend

Priority (Pr)	Grantee Action	PMO Contractor Status
1 – Most Critical	D – Remedial Action Developed	R – Review On-going
2 – Critical	A – Remedial Action Approved	C – Completed - No further review required
3 – Least Critical	I – Action Implemented	

Pr	Item	Identification	Nature of Problem		Grantee Action				Status
				D	A	I			
2	CLIN 003 Sub Task 12.a SAS-A01-1007	Rent Stabilization Plan	FTA/PMOC has no information for MTA's Plan for Rent Stabilization.	Y	Y	N	On December 1, 2008, the MTA issued the final draft of the SAS Relocation Plan, which includes the HLRP (Section 3.3), for FTA approval. PMOC comments were provided to the FTA on the final draft. FTA finalized its position and forwarded a letter to MTACC's management on March 4, 2009. Update of the Relocation Plan must address three concerns as noted in the March 4, 2009 letter. In April 2009, the Relocation Plan (Rev. 23) was updated and submitted for FTA/PMOC review. PMOC review was completed in June 2009 and comments provided to FTA. Reply to MTA is anticipated in early July 2009.	R	
2	CLIN 003 Sub Task 12.a SAS-A02-0208	Real estate challenges associated with entrance 3 at 72 nd Street Station	Two challenges were filed (State and Federal courts) with regard to the proposed relocation of entrance 3 of the 72 nd Street Station. The challenge filed in State Court has been dismissed. The challenge filed in Federal Court continues.	Y	Y	N	The FEIS identified the location of entrance 3 within a building. MTACC proposed to locate it on the sidewalk of the northeast corner of 72 nd Street. This initiated the challenges. Now MTACC is proposing to locate it in 300 72 nd Street. On September 12, 2008 a draft Environmental Assessment	R	

Pr	Item	Identification	Nature of Problem	Grantee Action				Status
				D	A	I		
							Schedule was presented to the FTA Regional Office for review. The final environmental assessment was approved by the FTA on May 18, 2009. Public release of the document occurred in June 2009. All comments will be required by July 1, 2009. A FONSI is anticipated in August 2009.	
2	CLIN 003 Sub Task 12a. SAS-A11-0808	Recovery Plan	MTACC needs to submit a Recovery Plan, pursuant to FFGA requirements, for FTA review and approval. Need to track the current budget against the FFGA budget and add financing costs as a line item to the Current Budget Summary.	Y	N	N	The project's budget and schedule performance is now being reported in comparison to the Baseline Cost Estimate and Schedule in the FFGA. MTACC has agreed to submit a Recovery Plan once consensus is reached from the Risk Assessment.	R
2	CLIN 003 Sub Task 12a. SAS-A13-0808	Increase in cost estimate and Contract Packaging Plan	Reasons/justifications for the budget increase of \$297 million from \$4.05 billion to \$4.347 billion must be provided to the FTA. What was it due to? What are the benefits resulting from adding \$297 million more to the budget and breaking into 12 contracts. Revised cost estimate in the Standard Cost Categories must be provided.	Y	N	N	The PMOC received the cost estimate in the Standard Cost Categories. As part of the Risk Assessment, each cost category is being evaluated with high and low dollar ranges being established based on the perceived risk, and a revised Baseline Cost Estimate is being established.	R

Pr	Item	Identification	Nature of Problem	Nature of Problem Grantee Action Commen					Comments	Status
				D	A	I				
3	CLIN 003 Sub Task 12a. SAS-A14-0808	Track Alignment	Provide the FTA with estimated savings on going from 3 tracks to 2 tracks at 72nd Street and on the revised alignment.	Y	Y	Y	A cost saving of \$89,684,000 is projected by changing from 3 tracks to 2 tracks.	С		
	CLIN 003 Sub Task 12a. SAS-A17-0808		The Rail Fleet Management Plan should be updated.	N	N	N	The Rail Fleet Management Plan will be updated once a decision is made on the length of the rail car (75 foot or 60 foot) to be use.	R		
2	CLIN 003 Sub Task 12a. SAS-A16-0808	Risk Assessment	Risk Assessment Workshop needs to be scheduled.	Y	N	N	Further refinements were made to the Risk Assessment model/process during May/June 2009. The model/process was presented to the MTA during various workshops held during June 2009. Workshops are ongoing to reach consensus on a revised Baseline Cost Estimate.	R		
2	CLIN 003 Sub Task 12a. SAS-A17-0808	Rolling Stock Procurement	The decision to utilize 75 foot rail cars vs. 60 foot rail cars is being re-evaluated. MTACC should include the status of the procurement of rolling stock in its monthly reports and as an agenda item for future quarterly meetings.	N	N	N	As of June 2009, MTACC has not decided on the length of the rail car to be utilized.	R		

Pr	Item	Identification	Nature of Problem	Grantee Action					Comments	Status
				D	A	I				
2	CLIN 003 Sub Task 12a. SAS-A18-0808	Contingency Drawdown Curve	The change in the Contingency Drawdown Curve, particularly the latent contingency, needs to be clarified. Why \$80 million?	Y	N	N	A revised Contingency Drawdown Curve will be developed based on the revised budget, schedule and contract Packaging Plan. See action item SAS-A13-0808.	R		

III. OBSERVATIONS AND CURRENT ISSUES

A. GENERAL AGENCY ASSESSMENT

MTA Capital Construction (MTACC) Company is a public corporation with a specific mission to plan, design and construct major current and future MTA system expansion projects, including the Second Avenue Subway (SAS) project. MTACC has engaged the services of a design consultant, DMJM+Harris and ARUP (DHA), and a consultant construction management services firm, PB Americas, to assist in its management of the project by supplementing MTACC staff. MTA's Real Estate Department is taking the lead in the acquisition of property required for the project.

The SAS project is trending behind schedule. The current Estimate at Completion (EAC) is significantly above the FFGA Baseline Cost Estimate (BCE). The PMOC received the revised cost estimate in the Standard Cost Categories, and Integrated Project Schedule. As part of the Risk Assessment, each cost category is being evaluated with high and low dollar ranges being established based on the perceived risk. During June 2009, the model/process was reviewed with MTA and subsequent workshops were held to reach consensus on the revised project cost and schedule. These workshops are ongoing. Consensus on a revised BCE and schedule is anticipated by August 2009. [Ref: SAS-A13-0808]

B. BUDGET/COST STATUS

The MTACC updated the SAS Project Phase 1 cost estimate to address the concerns noted during the 2007 Risk Assessment process. Adjustments were made to increase the unallocated contingency, real estate cost, and support an additional 6 months' float. The MTACC submitted the cost estimate and its various attachments to the FTA as required by the FFGA application. This effort was based on having 6 contract packages. Total project cost was \$4,866,614,000 and was broken down into the SCC as shown in the chart on the below.

Standard Cost Category (SCC) #	Description	Year of Expenditure \$000
10	Guideway & Track Elements	612,404
20	Stations, Stops, Terminals, Intermodal	1,092,836
30	Support Facilities: Yards, Shops, Admin Bldgs.	0
40	Site Work & Special Conditions	276,229
50	Systems	322,707
60	ROW, Land, Existing Improvements	240,960
70	Vehicles	152,999
80	Professional Services	796,311
90	Unallocated Contingency	555,554
Subtotal	•	4,050,000
Financing Cost		816,614
Total Project		4,866,614

Project Funding: On November 19, 2007, the FTA and MTA executed a FFGA in the amount of \$4,866,614,468, including finance costs. Total Federal participation is \$1,350,692,821 and Local participation is \$3,515,921,647. The table below lists the associated grants in the Transportation Electronic Award Management (TEAM) system with respective appropriated and obligated amounts as of *June 30, 2009*.

Grant Number	Amount	Obligated (\$)	Disbursement (\$) thru June 30, 2009
NY-03-0397	\$4,980,026	\$4,980,026	\$4,980,026
NY-03-0408	\$1,967,165	\$1,967,165	\$1,967,165
NY-03-0408-01	\$1,968,358	\$1,968,358	\$1,968,358
NY-03-0408-02	\$24,502,500	\$24,502,500	\$24,502,500
NY-03-0408-03	0	0	0
NY-03-0408-04	0	0	0
NY-03-0408-05	\$167,810,300	\$167,810,300	\$135,662,099
NY-17-X001-00	\$2,459,821	\$2,459,821	\$2,459,821
NY-95-X009-00	\$25,633,000	\$25,633,000	0
Total	\$229,321,170.00	\$229,321,170.00	\$171,539,969.00

Professional Service/Construction	Total # of Approved and Negotiated Change Orders	\$ Value	% of Award Value		
DHA (Contract Mod.)	3	\$33,459,986	23.3%		
Contract C 26002	53 (3 credits)	\$10,364,312	3.1%		

Project Expenditures and Commitments: A total of \$730.397 million has been expended on the project through *June 30, 2009,* including \$5.455 million on the Manhattan East Side Access (ESA) study and related FEIS work during the 1995-1999 Capital Program. (See Cost and Schedule Summary before Attachment "B")

Project Cost Management and Control: MTACC's work breakdown structure allows for effective monitoring, control, and reporting of project costs and expenditures. The PMOC will continue monitoring MTACC's budget control system during the final design, construction, and test phases.

Change Orders: Utilizing MTACC's Additional Work Order Status Log, the PMOC monitors the change order process monthly. The table below shows the status of change orders for professional service and construction contracts approved and negotiated as of *June 30, 2009*.

C. PROJECT SCHEDULE STATUS

Revision 3 Update 29, (dated January 13, 2009,) of the SAS Phase 1 Integrated Construction Schedule was issued on January 14, 2009. This schedule reflects a revised contract packaging plan of eleven construction contracts (previously twelve) and a delay of the Revenue Operations Date to December 30, 2016. It must be noted that the December 30, 2016 Revenue Operations Date is approximately 30 months past the Baseline Revenue Operations Date of June 30, 2014 noted in FFGA (Attachment 4). Various activities were identified as having an impact on the Revenue Operations Date such as: increase in time for the procurement process; additional hand-off float from contractor to contractor; and change in the integrated testing and commissioning methodology. As part of the Risk Assessment, the PMOC started a detailed analysis of the revised schedule in accordance with FTA guidelines. *During June 2009, the PMOC completed its analysis of the schedule and issued a draft report for FTA Regional 2 review. Once the review is completed, the FTA/PMOC will meet with the MTA to discuss the report and address any concerns it might have.*

D. DESIGN ENGINEERING STATUS

Design Management

MTACC awarded the Final Design (FD) of Phase 1 to DHA on April 18, 2006 at a value of approximately \$143.485 million (\$116.000 million FD and \$27,485 million construction phase design support). Three modifications to DHA's contract valued at \$33,459,985 have been approved. DHA's current final design contract is valued at \$176,945,663.

Final design is forecast to be completed on December 31, 2009. *Final Design Schedule Update #35 (as of May 31, 2009) shows the final design to be 92.07% complete.* Construction support services will continue through the completion of construction. Working Group meetings are ongoing to support the final design of the outstanding contract packages. Details of each contract package are presented below with final design completion dates as indicated in DHA's Final Design Schedule Update #35:

- Contract 1 C 26002 (TBM Tunnels from 92nd St. to 63rd ST.) FD was completed in June 2006 (TBM Tunnels)
- Contract 2A C 26005 (96th Street Station Structure and Heavy Civil) FD was completed in February 2008.
- Contract 2B C 26010 (96th Street Station: utility restoration, construction of the above ground structure of the entrances and ancillary facilities, remaining invert slab, street, sidewalk and tree restoration finishes and installation of mechanical, electrical and plumbing equipment). The FD (95% submittal) was submitted to the CCM and NYCT for review. CCM and NYCT comments are to be received and incorporated by July 31, 2009.
- Contract 3 C 26006 (63rd Street Station: upgrade involving open-cut excavation for the construction of entrance and ancillary facilities, removal and upgrade of the structural elements within the existing tunnel, and traction power connection to the Lexington Avenue Station on the Q Line). FD is scheduled to be completed on December 18, 2009 and is currently statused at 59.66% complete.

- Contract 4A C 26014 (72nd Street Station: demolition of existing building and relocation of utilities that will prepare the site for construction). The FD (95% submittal) was submitted to the CCM and NYCT for review. CCM and NYCT comments are to be received and incorporated by July 27, 2009.
- Contract 4B C 26007 (72nd Street Station: construction of the cavern and the G3/G4 tunnels to the existing 63rd St. /Lexington Avenue Station). FD (95% submittal) is scheduled to be completed on September 18, 2009. CCM and NYCT comments are to be received and incorporated by November 13, 2009.
- Contract 4C C 26011 (72nd Street Station: construction of ancillary finishes, installation of station finishes and mechanical, electrical and plumbing equipment). FD (95% submittal) is scheduled to be completed on December 18, 2009. CCM and NYCT comments are to be received and incorporated by February 1, 2010.
- Contract 5A C 26013 (86th Street Station: utility relocation, open excavation and road decking that will prepare the site for construction). FD was completed in February 2009.
- Contract 5B C 26008 (86th Street Station: construction of the station cavern, entrances and access shafts). FD (95% submittal) is scheduled to be completed on September 24, 2009. CCM and NYCT comments are to be received and incorporated by November 19, 2009.
- Contract 5C C 26012 (86th Street Station: construction of the ancillary facilities and the installation of station finishes and the mechanical, electrical, and plumbing equipment). FD (95% submittal) is scheduled to be completed on July 27, 2009. CCM and NYCT comments are to be received and incorporated by September 22, 2009.
- Contract 6 C 26009 (Systems, Power, Signals and Communications; includes the installation of the low-vibration track, aluminum rail, way-side signals, and all communication components, integration of the communication network with the NEP SCADA system and commissioning the system for revenue service). FD is scheduled to be completed on December 31, 2009 and is currently statused at 78.56% complete.

E. CONSTRUCTION STATUS

Construction Management

On May 31, 2007, MTACC awarded a contract to PB America (PB) to perform Construction Management services for Phase 1 of the SAS project. As Consultant Construction Manager (CCM), PB will provide services for 91 months with a not-to-exceed value of \$80.9 million. PB's budget was subsequently increased to \$91 million to support the 11 Contract Packaging Plan and various constructability reviews. *Total expenditure as of June 30 is* \$8,312,344.

PB is playing an active role coordinating activities among the various agencies, the Contract (1) C 26002 contractor (S3) and the SAS Project Team. Job Progress Meetings are being held biweekly to keep all parties informed. PB is conducting on-board reviews of the design packages associated with the 72^{nd} Street Station.

Tunnels from 92nd to 63rd Streets

Description: Contract (1) C 26002 provides for the construction of tunnels between 92nd Street and the existing Lexington Ave. /63rd Street Station, using a Tunnel Boring Machine (TBM) and other mining methods. It includes all preparatory work for tunneling, such as utility relocation and construction of a launch box and two vertical shafts. It also includes preparatory work for the subsequent contracts, such as maintenance of electrical and other facilities. Contract C 26002 was awarded to S3 Tunnel Contractors (a joint venture of Skanska, Schiavone and Shea) on March 20, 2007 for \$337,025,000.

Schedule: Contract (1) C 26002 notice-to-proceed (NTP) was issued on March 20, 2007, with a July 20, 2010 completion date (duration 40 months). As of *June 30, 2009* S3 is still trending approximately 15 months behind schedule. Time extensions totaling 7.5 months have been granted to S3 because of Additional Work Orders (AWOs) associated with new requirements from Con Ed and New York City Department of Environmental Protection (NYCDEP), and removal of utilities and a large sub-surface wall which were not on the plans. The remaining 7.5 months of delay is due to S3's marginal performance. *As a result of additional manpower being added and revised means and methods, S3 was able to recover seven days of the schedule during June 2009. Current substantial completion date is forecasted January 24, 2011. S3 continues to work on a recovery schedule as request by MTACC*.

Construction Progress: During June 2009, S3 continued work on the east side of 2nd Avenue. Construction activities included: installation and jacking of deck beams; installing rebar for cap beam at north bulkhead; drilling for dewatering system; installation of the aluminum jacket on the 36" water main; excavation at south bulkhead to install toe-anchors; and hanging electric utilities under the decking. Demobilization of the slurry plant at the 96th Street yard was completed in preparation for site turnover to the 2A Contractor. However, excavation work in the vicinity of 1766 to 1772 Second Avenue, near 92nd Street, was halted because of structural concerns with three of the buildings in that group. Work at the vertical shaft sites (69th and 72nd Street) continued with excavation for the electric, gas, and steam utilities. Asbestos abatement of the electrical manholes and steam main is in progress by Con Ed. Con Ed has cleared 5 of the 6 manholes for abatement.

Budget: The budget for Contract (1) C 26002 is \$353,876,250. It is comprised of S3's bid price of \$337,025,000 plus a contingency of \$16,851,250. The contingency is 5% of the bid price and is allocated to cover additional work orders (AWOs). Total expenditure through *June 30, 2009* is \$156,768,350. Fifty-three AWOs have been approved/negotiated for a total value of \$10,364,312. The contract is within budget with an estimate at completion (EAC) of \$347,389,312 (bid price plus approved/negotiated AWOs).

Quality: During June 2009, S3's quality personnel continued to support the project by performing inspection and test activities associated with utility relocations, deck beam splicing and jacking, material delivery, and control of nonconforming material. A preparatory phase session meeting was held for mass excavation for the toe anchors. S3's Quality Assurance activities in the next six weeks will include an audit of its internal quality management system, as well as audits of Wang Engineering and Ferrara Brothers Concrete.

Safety: During June 2009, the contractor continued the implementation of its safety program. The recordable incidents and lost time accidents for the project are below the national average.

96th Street Station

Description: Contract (2A) C 26005 is for the heavy civil/structural construction involving utility relocation, demolition of existing buildings, underpinning, slurry wall construction, excavation, and station invert slab of the 96th Street main station box, entrances and ancillary facilities. This contract was awarded to EE Cruz/Tully Construction Company (a joint venture) on May 28, 2009 for \$325,000,000.

Contract (2B) C 26010 is for the station finishes, installation of mechanical, electrical and plumbing systems in the station, installation of vertical conveyances, including elevators and escalators, utility restoration, construction of the above ground structure of the entrances and ancillary facilities, remaining invert slab, street, sidewalk and tree restoration, and retrofit of the existing tunnel between 99th and 105th Streets and the base slab of the new bored tunnel from 87th to 92nd Streets. The award date for this contract is March 31, 2011.

Schedule: Contract (2A) C 26005 notice-to-proceed was issued on May 28, 2009. *Contract milestones are as follows: surface from* 95^{th} *to* 93^{rd} *Streets available (NTP* + 22 *months); north station area including ancillary* # 2 *completion (Milestone* #1) (NTP + 30 ½ months); underground station box from 95^{th} to 93^{rd} streets available (NTP + 32 months); ancillary #1, entrances #1 and #2, and south station area completion (Milestone #2) (NTP + 38 ½ months); Substantial Completion (NTP + 43 ½ months).

Construction Progress: N/A

Budget: The budget for Contract (2A) C 26005 is \$341,250,000. It is comprised of EE Cruz/Tully Construction bid price of \$325,000,000 plus a contingency of \$16,250,000. The contingency is 5% of the bid price and is allocated to cover additional work orders (AWOs).

Quality: A Quality Kick-off meeting was held to acquaint EE Cruz/Tully with the quality requirements for the project. It was highlighted that the requirements are based on the 15 elements of the FTA Quality Assurance/Quality Control guidelines. One of the first submittals required is the Contractor's Quality Plan.

Safety: N/A

63rd Street Station Rehabilitation

Description: Contract (3) C 26006 includes the upgrade of the existing 63rd Street/Lexington Avenue Station. The work within this contract involves open-cut excavation for the construction of entrance and ancillary facilities, removal and upgrade of the structural elements within the existing tunnel, and a traction power connection to the Lexington Avenue Station on the Q Line.

Schedule: Contract (3) C 26006 award is July 30, 2010.

Construction Progress: N/A

Budget: N/A Quality: N/A Safety: N/A

72nd Street Station

Description: Contracts (4A) C 26014 is for demolition of existing buildings and utility relocation. The scope is to prepare the construction site for construction staging and subsequent heavy civil construction work.

Contract (4B) C 26007 is for the construction of the station cavern and G3/G4 tunnels to the existing 63rd Street/Lexington Avenue Station for the 72nd Street Station. Major construction work includes tunnel mining, tunnel lining, station cavern, station entrance and elevator shafts.

Contract (4C) C 26011 is for construction of ancillary facilities, the installation of station finishes and the mechanical, electrical, and plumbing systems for the 72nd Street Station. Major construction work includes station electrical service, fan plant and pump room equipment, elevators and escalators.

Schedule: Award Contract (4A) November 30, 2009, Contract (4B) June 30, 2010, and Contract (4C) November 30, 2012

Construction Progress: N/A

Budget: N/A Quality: N/A Safety: N/A

86th Street Station

Description: Contracts (5A) C 26008 is for the construction of the station cavern and entrances. The scope of work includes mining of the station cavern, heavy civil work and construction of the station entrance and elevator shafts.

Contract (5B) C 26012 is for construction of the ancillary facilities, the installation of station finishes and the mechanical, electrical and plumbing systems. The scope includes the installation of station electrical service, fan plant and pump room equipment, elevators and escalators.

Contract (5C) C 26013 is for utility relocation, open excavation and road decking. The scope of this contract is to prepare the site and provide access for the subsequent cavern and mechanical, electrical and plumbing contracts.

Schedule: Contract (5A) C 26008 award date has slipped from June 30 to July 8, 2009. This contract was advertised on March 12, 2009 and a pre-bid tour and conference was held on April 1, 2009. Seven addenda were issued on the request of the bidders with the bid opening date being extended twice. Five bids were subsequently received and opened on May 21, 2009. Three of the 5 bids were below the project estimate of \$41,000,000. J. D'Annunzio & Sons, Inc submitted the lowest bid at \$34,070,039 and a qualification hearing was held with them in June 2009.

Contract (5B) C 26012 award is January 31, 2011 and Contract (5C) C 26013 award is October 2, 2013.

Construction Progress: N/A

Budget: N/A

Quality: N/A Safety: N/A

Systems, Power, Signals, and Communication

Description: Contract (6) C 26009 provides for the construction of the tracks, signals, traction power and communication network and equipment for the SAS Phase 1 stations. The scope of work includes the installation of the low-vibration track, aluminum rail, way-side signals, and all communication components. Also included is the integration of the communication network with the MEP SCADA system and commissioning the system for revenue service.

Schedule: Contract (6) C 26009 award is March 30, 2011.

Construction Progress: N/A

Budget: N/A Quality: N/A Safety: N/A

F. PROCUREMENT AND CONTRACTING ACTIVITIES

Contract Packaging Plan: The scope of work associated with Phase 1 of the SAS Project was initially defined in 6 Construction Packages (contracts). The scope was then reallocated into 9 contracts as previously enumerated, but further divided into 12 packages as part of the MTA's latest Packaging Plan. MTACC took this action because contractors were having difficulty getting bonding on contracts valued greater than \$400 million. Contracts 2, 4 and 5 exceeded the \$400 million level. In February 2008, the PMOC was informed that MTACC was investigating the feasibility of reallocating the project scope into additional construction packages (value not to exceed \$300 million).

On March 21 and 22, MTACC held a Peer Review Workshop made up of senior construction personnel from both the SAS Team and outside industry. The purpose was to compare the 9 contract plan against a 13 contract plan that had been developed in February and March 2008, and determine the optimum number of contracts to minimize both market risk and construction risk. Although the workshop presented a 9 or 10 package plan to MTACC's upper management, it was not accepted. Based on MTACC's recent experience on other projects, a further reduction in contract package size was requested as a means of increasing competition and addressing the market risks during the Risk Assessment process. The SAS Team agreed on a 12 contract packaging plan, which was approved by MTACC upper management and submitted to the FTA in July 2008. At the FTA's Quarterly Meeting held on August 12, 2008, MTACC accepted the action item to provide the FTA the benefits of breaking the scope into 12 contract packages [Ref: SAS-A13-0808]. During November 2008, the PMOC learned that MTACC was re-evaluating the splitting of the 96th Street Station into three contract packages (2A, 2B, and 2C). Two packages (2A and 2B) were being considered. MTACC subsequently decided on two contracts for the 96th Street Station.

The PMOC has expressed its concerns regarding the additional coordination required as more contractors are brought on board, the number of contractors who would be required to work in the limited space and the possibility of additional claims if work areas are not available for follow-on contractors when scheduled. Revision 3 Update 29 of the SAS Phase 1 Integrated

Construction Schedule addresses the PMOC concerns in that additional hand-off float from contractor to contractor has been added. The packaging plan is being reevaluated as part of the PMOC's Risk Assessment.

Property Acquisition and Real Estate: MTA Real Estate is handling all real estate matters related to the SAS project and is responsible for acquiring the real estate interests needed for the project. MTA's real estate acquisition process is addressed in the Real Estate Acquisition Management Plan (RAMP) and the SAS Relocation Plan. The FTA gave conditional approval of the RAMP (dated May 2007) on November 15, 2007. Of concern were the issues of Just Compensation and Fixtures. The MTA submitted the revised RAMP at the beginning of March 2008 and FTA approved it with the understanding that it would be revised to reflect minor clarifications noted in FTA's letter dated March 20, 2008. On April 16, 2008, MTA's SAS Relocation Plan was submitted for FTA review and approval. Section 3.3 of the plan addresses the loss of rent regulated apartments. On October 8, 2008, the MTA published the Housing of Last Resort Plan (HLRP), which describes how MTA proposes to provide assistance to dislocated residential tenants of the SAS project beyond the threshold set in the Uniform Act. This plan is an amendment to section 3.3 of the Relocation Plan. FTA/PMOC completed its review and provided comments to MTA. On December 1, 2008, the MTA issued the final draft of the SAS Relocation Plan, which includes the HLRP (section 3.3), for FTA approval. On December 12, 2008, PMOC provided comments to the FTA on the final draft. FTA finalized its comments and issued a letter to the MTA on March 4, 2009 [Ref: SAS-A01-1007]. The FTA concurred that MTA demonstrated the need to provide housing of last resort. FTA participation, however, will be limited to payments calculated based on a 42-month period as noted in the Uniform Relocation Act. Also, the Relocation Plan has to be updated to provide evidence of a direct correlation of the available residential market versus the needs of the displaced residents; identify similar neighborhoods that will be considered in providing comparable replacement dwellings, even if such units are located outside the project area; and identify any type of payment controls (installments, annuities, etc.) that MTA will use to provide large lump-sum supplemental payments. MTA Real Estate updated the HLRP and submitted it for FTA/PMOC comments on April 7, 2009. FTA/PMOC completed its review and anticipates a response to MTA by early July 2009.

Real Estate concerns threaten to delay the work of building demolition prior to the commencing the 72nd Street Station cavern excavation contract. Demolition is currently planned for April 2010, but several administrative tasks must be completed before the building tenants can be relocated; and some of the tasks could take several months to complete. MTA estimates it will take 3-4 months to complete its legal process to relocate the 51 tenants affected. If there is a legal challenge, the process could take much longer and delay the critical path cavern contract that in-turn would delay the entire program. MTA is taking appropriate action to resolve this matter and is maintaining it as a high-profile issue until it is fully resolved.

At the February 7, 2008, Quarterly Meeting FTA was also informed that two challenges were filed (State and Federal courts) with regard to the proposed relocation of entrance 3 of the 72nd Street Station. The State Court dismissed the state challenge in May 2008. The challenge filed in Federal Court is under NEPA and names FTA, MTA, and DHA. The FEIS identified the location of entrance 3 within a building; the proposed location is on the sidewalk of the northeast corner of 72nd Street. MTA's Real Estate is coordinating efforts with legal representatives of FTA Region 2 to resolve this issue. Subsequent investigation by DHA has identified an option

which would eliminate the need to locate entrance 3 on the sidewalk. A bank of elevators in a building is being considered. This option was presented at a community board (Community Board 8) meeting in August 2008 and was favorably received. Environmental Assessment will be conducted for the location. On September 12, 2008, a draft Environmental Assessment Schedule was presented to the FTA Regional Office for review. On March 31, 2009, FTA provided comments to MTACC on the revised Environmental Assessment. MTACC subsequently responded to the FTA on April 7, 2009. The FTA subsequently approved the final environmental assessment on May 18, 2009. *The document was publicly released in June 2009*. All comments will be required by July 1, 2009 and issuance of a FONSI is anticipated on August 1, 2009. [Ref: SAS-A02-0208]

Rolling Stock: The evaluation is on-going to determine which rail car length (75 foot or 60 foot) is to be utilized. [Ref: SAS-A17-0808]

G. INTERAGENCY AND MASTER UTILITY PLANNING

Interagency and master utility planning is progressing as defined in Section 12 of the PMP. During Final Design coordination with utility providers to develop detailed plans for facility rearrangements and integration of these plans into the construction contract documents is ongoing. Bi-weekly utility coordination meetings at the construction field office of Contract C 26002 are being held. Work orders are being issued to secure the city's assistance to the project in the areas of public works engineering, and traffic engineering.

Among the major New York City agencies that will interface with the project are: New York City Department of City Planning (NYCDCP); New York City Fire Department (FDNY); New York City Department of Transportation (NYCDOT); New York City Medical Examiner; and New York City Department of Environmental Protection (NYCDEP).

H. SYSTEM SAFETY AND SECURITY

On November 15, 2007, the FTA approved the SAS Safety and Security Management Plan (SSMP), noting however, that there was a vacancy in the Director of System Safety and Security position. As of June 30, 2008, MTACC hired a field Security Manager for its East Side Access Project. This person will also work on SAS until both projects are active enough to justify a separate Manager for SAS. The Safety and Security Manager position is shown on the latest SAS Organization Chart as a matrixed resource from MTACC's VP, Program Control, Quality, Safety and Site Security's office.

On the SAS project, DHA's System Safety Manager is supporting the design efforts and CCM PB Americas Safety Manager is supporting construction efforts under the supervision of MTACC Chief-Quality and Safety. The SSMP is intended to provide an effective management process that applies the system safety and security discipline throughout design, construction, start-up, and operations and maintenance. The SSMP establishes the framework that the SAS Project will use to reduce hazards and vulnerabilities to the criteria specified in contract documents, as determined by MTACC.

I. RISK ASSESSMENT AND RISK MITIGATION

The SAS Project Team and the FTA's Risk Assessment Team have worked effectively in addressing issues, which could impact the success of the project. They have developed a Risk Management Program through various workshops and mutual cooperation. The PMOC has

documented the efforts of the Risk Assessment Team in various draft Spot Reports. On June 6, 2007 the PMOC forwarded the reports to FTA Headquarters for review. The PMOC will monitor the implementation of the Risk Management Program as the project progresses through the design, construction and test phases. The MTACC and FTA have identified and documented the risk mitigation initiatives in a scoping document for incorporation into the PMP. The MTACC updated the PMP in February 2008, and a formal submission was made in March 2008.

In November 2008, MTA notified FTA of a revised budget and schedule. During January 2009, the PMOC was provided with the revised Integrated Construction Schedule and cost estimate. During April 2009, modified PG33 and PG34 products were developed based on schedule and cost inputs being modeled under various assumptions. *During May /June 2009, FTA and PMOC continued its refinement of the PG47process. Discussions regarding a revised project execution plan will be held with the MTA during July 2009.* [Ref: SAS-A16-0808]

J. QUALITY ASSURANCE/QUALITY CONTROL

MTACC's Quality Manager for Second Avenue has implemented a Total Quality approach for monitoring the Quality Control and Quality Assurance activities on the Project. MTACC Quality Personnel audit both the Designer's and CCM's Quality programs; then the three groups (MTACC, Designer & CCM) audit the Contractors', Subcontractors' and Suppliers' Quality programs. In addition, Contract C 26002's Quality Manager has a very ambitious Quality program that is scheduled 6 weeks into the future and lists the audits and inspections to be performed. The inspections follow the schedule of work to be performed in those 6 weeks. Materials being delivered for the work tasks are inspected prior to the work beginning and the quality of the work being performed is audited/inspected as it is being done. The status of Non-Conformance Notices and the responsibility to perform corrective actions is distributed monthly. Representatives from each quality organization meet every other week to address any quality concerns. The Quality Program continues to be proactive and is providing proper oversight.

K. COMMUNITY RELATIONS

As part of its community relations program, MTACC continues to conduct extensive public and community outreach. Activities include: liaison support at Construction Field Office to handle daily concerns of pedestrians, residents and businesses; arranging meetings with community groups, condo boards, etc. to address concerns specific to their neighborhoods/buildings; providing email advisories to alert elected officials in advance of significant changes at the construction site or new construction activity; and addressing correspondence received through letters and emails regarding the SAS project.

TABLE 2 - COST AND SCHEDULE SUMMARY													
	Cost (in Dollars)						Schedule						
Project	Original Budget	Latest Estimate at Completion (EAC) Latest Estimate at Completion (EAC) Percent (%) Difference (+ or -)** (+ or -)** Spent Through 6/30/09 (Funding Report) Percent (%) Complete Planned Actua		plete	Award/NTP Date	Original Completion Date*	Actual Forecasted Completion	Original Duration (Months)	Current Duration (Months)	Percent (%) Complete			
Contract 1 - Tunnel Boring S3 Joint Venture (Skanska/Schiavone/Shea)	\$337,025,000	\$337,025,000		\$156,768,350		46.5%	3/20/2007	9/20/2010	1/24/2011	40 months	46 months		
Contract 2A - 96th Street Station (EE Cruz/Tully)	N/A	\$325,000,000					5/28/2009	1/1/13	1/1/13	43.25 months	43.25 months		
Contract 3 - 63rd Street Station Name of Contractor	N/A												
Contract 4 - 72nd Street Station Name of Contractor	N/A												
Contract 5 - 86th Street Station Name of Contractor	N/A												
Contract 6 - Track and Systems Name of Contractor	N/A												
Contracts to Be Bid Total Construction	\$2,231,975,000 \$2,569,000,000	\$2,158,975,000 \$2,821,000,000	-73,000,000.0										
OCIP (Insurance) TA Labor	\$160,000,000 \$28,000,000	\$169,000,000 \$28,000,000	9,000,000.0	\$126,150,752 \$99,103		74.6% 0.4%							
Engrg. Force Account 3rd Party Reimbursement	\$42,000,000 \$58,000,000	\$52,000,000 \$61,000,000	10,000,000.0 3,000,000.0	\$2,071,198 \$3,481,602		4.0% 5.7%							
Artwork Allowance Preliminary Engr. & EIS	\$6,000,000 240,814,507	\$6,000,000 \$240,518,909	-295,597.8	\$238,219,830		99.0%							
Final Design Construction Mgmt.	\$169,185,493 \$86,000,000	\$204,481,091 \$91,000,000	35,295,598.0 5,000,000.0	\$132,028,068 \$8,312,344		64.6% 9.1%	4/18/2006 5/31/2007	4/18/2009	12/18/2009	36 months	44 months		
Rolling Stock Property Acquisition	\$157,000,000 \$245,000,000	\$157,000,000 \$245,000,000	2,000,000.0	\$54,719,625		22.3%	31312007						
Contingency	\$129,000,000	\$141,000,000	12,000,000.0	\$8,546,161		6.1%							
Project Reserve Executive Reserve	\$160,000,000	\$6,000,000 \$125,000,000	6,000,000.0 -35,000,000.0										
Subtotal Financing		4,347,000,000	297,000,000.2	730,397,034		16.8%							
Totals	4,866,614,000	4,347,000,000	297000000.2	730,397,034		16.8%							

Attachment B - Summary of Concerns and Recommendations

The status of PMO Contractor Concerns and Recommendations is shown in the following summary chart.

Note: Items marked with a "C" in the "PMO Contractor Status" column will be dropped from future reports.

Key Item

Subtask 11A.XX CLIN 0002 – Technical Capacity Review

Subtask 12A.XX CLIN 0003 - Monitor Project Development and Implementation

Legend

Priority (Pr)	Category (Cat.)	Grantee Action (D A I)	PMO Contractor Status
1 – Most Critical	S1 – Scope	D – Remedial Action Developed	R – Review On-going
2 – Critical	S2 – Schedule	A – Remedial Action Approved	C – Completed – No further review required
3 – Least Critical	S3 – Safety/Security	I – Action Implemented	
	B – Budget	Y – Yes	
	Q – Quality	N – No	

Attachment B -Summary of Concerns and Recommendations

Pr	Item	Identification	Cat	Nature of Concern PMO Recommendation			rant Actio		Comments	Status
							A	I		
2	CLIN 0003 SubTask 12.a	Rolling Stock Procurement	B S2	The decision to utilize 75 foot rail cars vs. 60 foot rail cars is being re-evaluated.	MTA should immediately choose the car length most adaptable for them.	N	N	N	A decision is needed to finalize the Cost Estimate.	R
	SAS-03-0309	10	w.			28				28