FEDERAL TRANSIT ADM NISTRATION

PROJECT MANAGEMENT OVERSIGHT PROGRAM

Contract No. DTFT60-04-D 00012
Project No. DG 27-5006
Task Order No. 3

Grantee: METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY SECOND AVENUE SUB WAY (MTACC SAS)

REGIONII MAJOR CAPITAL PROJECTS

Monthly Report - Part 1 March 2007

Ur ban Engineers of New York, P. C 350 Fifth Avenue, Suite 6024 New York, New York 10118

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
SECTI ON III SECOND AVENUE SUB WAY PROJECT	
TABLE OF CONTENTS	I
IIST OF ACRONYMS	II
I EXECUTI VE SUMMARY	1
A PROJECT DESCRIPTION. B PROJECT STATUS. C SCHEDULE. D COST DATA. E TECHNICAL CAPACITY REVIEW. F SYSTEM SAFETY REVIEW. G MAJOR ISSUES/ PROBLEMS. ATTACHMENT A- SAFETY CHECKLIST SECOND AVENUE SUBWAY.	
II. ACTI ON I TEMS – I TEMS FOR GRANTEE ACTI ON	
III. OBSERVATI ONS AND CURRENT ISSUES	
ATTACHMENT B- SUMMARY OF CONCERNS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	19
Table 1 – FFGA Application Checklist SAS Phase 1	8

LIST OF ACRONYMS

DHA DM MH Harris and ARUP
ES WA Early Systems Work Agreement

FD Final Design

FELS Final Environmental Impact Statement

FFGA Full Funding Grant Agreement FTA Federal Transit Administration

MTA Metropolitan Transportation Authority

MT ACC Metropolitan Transportation Authority – Capital Construction

N A Not Applicable

NYCT Ne w York Gty Transit
PE Preli minary Engineering

PMOC Project Management Oversight Contractor (Urban Engineers)

PMP Project Management Plan

ROD Record of Decision
SAS Second Avenue Subway

SS MP Safety and Security Management Han

SS OA State Safety Oversight Agency SSPP System Safety ProgramPlan

TBD To Be Determined VE Value Engineering

SECTI ON III. SECOND AVENUE SUB WAY (SAS) PROJECT

I EXECUTI VE SUMMARY

A Project Description

- General Description: The Second Avenue Subway (SAS) project will connect Manhattan's Central Harle marea with the downtown financial district, relieving congested conditions on the Lexington Avenue line. The current project scope includes: tunneling, station/ancillary facilities; track, signal, and electrical work; vehicle procure ment; and all α her subway systems necessary for operation. The project consists of four phases, with Phase 1 providing an Initial Operating Segment (IOS) from 96th Street to 63rd Street, and will connect with the existing Broadway Line that extends to Lower Manhattan and Brooklyn. Subsequent phases will extend the line northward to 125th Street and to the southern terminus at Hanover Square in Lower Manhattan.
- Lengt hr Phase 1 23 miles from 63rd Street to 105th Street. Total Project 8.5 miles from 125th Street and Lexington Avenue in Central Harlemto Lower Manhattan
- No. of Stations: Total Project 16 new stations. Phase 1-t wo new mined stations located at 72nd and 86th Streets, One new cut and cover station at 96th Street, and modification of the existing 63rd Street Station on the Broadway Line.
- Additional Facilities: Newstorage tracks will be provided along the alignment and at the north and south terminals during the later phases of the project, but not as part of Phase 1.
- **Wehi des**: Total Project Approxi mately 224 railcars that are 75 feet long (28 new 8-car train sets). Phase 1 68 new rail cars (includes 12 spares).
- Redership Forecast: The full length SAS is forecast to carry 560,000 daily riders in 2030. Upon completion of Phase 1, ridership is expected to be 191,000 per the MTA's Regional Travel Forecast Model.

B Project Status

■ The project is in the Final Design/Construction phase.

C Schedule

- **Preliminary Engineering** (PE): Entry into PE was approved by FTA on December 20, 2001; PE completed April 17, 2006.
- **Record of Decision** (ROD): Record of Decision issued on July 8, 2004.
- **If nal Design** (FD): Entry into FD (Phase 1) was approved by FTA on April 18 2006.
- Full Funding Grant Agreement (FFGA): Anticipated FFGA Fall 2007.

In advance of the FFGA, MTA requested approval of an Early Systems Work Agreement (ES WA) in the amount of \$693 million. The ES WA focused on efforts associated with Contract 1 (Tunnel Boring). The FTA approved the ES WA on January 5, 2007.

- Construction: Entry into the Construction Phase was authorized with the approval of the ES WA on January 5, 2007. The first construction contract, Contract 1 Tunnel Boring, was awarded on March 20, 2007. Ground Breaking is scheduled for April 12, 2007.
- **Project Complete:** Phase 1 Project percent complete is 7.05 % (based on total expenditures versus Phase 1 projected cost at completion) through February 28, 2007.
- Revenue Operations Date:

Phase 1 (MOS)

	Proposed	Fore	cast	
Proposed FFGA FFGA as Amended		Grant ee	P MO	Act ual
Fall 2007(1)	N A	Dec. 2013 (2)	Dec. 2013(2)	TBD

- (1) Based upon FTA's completion of the Risk Assessment, (2) Fiscal Year 2008 New Starts Submission
- Other: The Environmental Impact Statement was approved on April 8, 2004.
- Next Quarterly Review Meeting TBD

D Cost Data for Phase 1

	Proposed F			Current (Oosts (2)	Expenditures Thru (3): February 28, 2007		
	(\$ Millions)	(%)	FF GA A me nd me nt s	(\$ Millions)	Percent of Total Current Cost	(\$ Millions)	Percent of Current Project Cost	
Total Project Cost:	\$3, 838. 806		N A	\$3, 838. 806		\$270.901	7. 05 %	
Total Federal share:	1, 345. 300	35. 00	N A	\$37. 616 (4)		37. 616	0. 98 %	
Total FTA share:	1, 300. 000		N A	Sa me				
5309 New Starts share:	1, 300. 000		N A	\$33.418				
Tot al Local share:	2, 493. 506	65. 00	N A	TBD		\$233. 285	6.07%	
St at e share:	450.000		N A	TBD				
Agency share:	2, 043. 506		N A	TBD				

- (1) FFGA not yet negotiated. Initially, MTACC will seek a FFGA for Phase 1 only. Amounts based on SCC Funding Sources by Category Worksheet from FY 2008 New Starts submission.
- (2) Current cost based SCC Main Worksheet -Build Alternative from FY 2008 New Starts submission.
- (3) Financial data provided monthly by MFACC
- (4) Includes \$4.198 million CMAQ Funds

E Technical Capacity Review

- <u>Project Management Plan (PMP)</u>: Updated PMP (Revision 5) for the *final* design/constriction phase of the project was conditionally approved by the FTA on March 22, 2007.
- <u>Project Quality Manual (PQM) Plan</u>: Updated PQM (Revision 2) for the *final* design/constriction phase of the project was approved by the FTA on March 22, 2007.
- Bus Heet Management Plan (BFMP): Updated BFMP addressing the PMOC concerns was submitted for FTA accept ance on Murch 23, 2007. FTA accept ance is anticipated in April 2007.
- Rail Heet Management Plan (RFMP): Updated RFMP addressing the SAS project requirements was submitted for FTA accept ance on March 6, 2007. FTA accept ance is anticipated in April 2007.

F. System Safety Review

• The New York State Public Transportation Safety Board, the State Safety Oversight Agency (SSOA), re-certified NYCT's System Safety Program Plan (SSPP) on March 15, 2006 to the revised 49 CFR Part 659 requirements.

G Maj or Issues/Problens

None.

Attachment A- Safety Checklist Second Avenue Subway

Areas of Focus	ΥN	Status				
State Safety Oversight Agency						
Does the state have a designated State Safety Oversight Agency (SSOA) as defined in 49 CFR Part 659?	Y	Ne w York Public Transportation Safety Board				
If so, does the SSOA's authority extend to pre-revenue operations?	Y	Yes, All Phases.				
Has the SSOA established its System Safety Program Standards (SSPS)?	Y	Standards are based on the requirements of 49 CRF Part 659.				
Has the SSOA received, reviewed, and approved the Grantee's System Safety Program Plan (SSPP)?	Y	SSPP re-certified on March 15, 2006 per the revised 49 CFR Part 659 requirements.				
Does SSOA participate in Project Development? Participation includes things such as: review design documents; attend review meetings; and comment on the how the safety aspects of the project are being addressed	Y	Reference section 227 of the SAS System Safety & Reliability Plan.				
Has the SSOA performed a pre-revenue safety review of the Grantee's project?	N	No				
Syste m Saf et y						
Is the Grantee's overall Safety Program properly documented in its Project Management Plan (PMP)?	Y	See PMP Section 3				
Do the Grantee's PMP and associated Safety Program include an appropriate safety policy adopted by its top management?	Y	By approving plan				
Do the Grantee's PMP and associated Safety Program establish a specific organizational entity and/or individual responsible for the Safety Program?	Y	See PMP				
Do the Grantee's PMP and associated Safety Program specify staffing requirements, procedures and authority for the safety activities?	Y	See PMP Section 3				

Attachment A- Safety Checklist Second Avenue Subway

Areas of Focus	ΥN	Stat us
Do the Grantee's PMP and associated Safety Program include a for mal Safety Certification Program (SCP)?	Y	See PMP (draft program is available) and SAS System Safety & Reliability Plan
Do the Grantee's PMP and associated Safety Program include the development/use of a Safety Design Criteria Manual or equivalent documents?	Y	See DCM Chapter 26 and SAS System Safety & Reliability Han
Has the Grantee developed and the SSOA approved the Grantee's SSPP? What is the status of this process bet ween the Grantee and SSOA?	Y	SSPP re-certified on March 15, 2006 per the revised 49 CFR Part 659 requirements.
Is the Grantee implementing its Safety Program as defined in the PMP? Are the safety milestones being met? (Note: This assumes that the Safety Program is properly documented in the PMP.)	Y	See Project Schedule
Construction Safety		
Is the Grantee's Construction Safety Program (CSP) documented in the PMP?	Y	Included in the Construction Phase P MP.
Has the Grantee implemented its CSP?	N A	Will be implemented during Construction
How do the Grantee's OSHA statistics compare to the national average for the same type of work? If the comparison is not favorable, what actions are being taken by the Grantee to improve its safety record?	TBD	Project is in Final Design
Is the Grantee using wrap-up insurance on this project? Is the Grantee using safety incentives/disincentives on	Y	NYCT has decided to use OCIP for Phase 1. MTA's Board approved purchasing the policy in December 2006.
this project?		

Attachment A- Safety Checklist Second Avenue Subway

Areas of Focus	YN	Status
Shared Track		
Does this project have shared track?	N	
Has the Grantee coordinated with FRA regarding wai vers for shared track usage?	NΑ	
Shared Corridor		
Does this project include shared corridor? Hease describe the geography of the shared corridor.	N	
What is the Grantee doing to specifically address safety concerns in the shared corridor portion of the project?	N A	

Table 1 – FFGA Application Checklist SAS Phase 1

Doc u me nt	Agency	Target Dat e	St at us/ Co mme nt s
Annual Certs & Assurances	МΓА		
Grant Application	МΓА	7/2007	
Federal STIP Approval	FTA		
Record of Decision/FONSI	FTA	07/08/04 (A)	
Givil Rights Program Review	FTA		
Project Management Plan	МГА	03/22/07 (A)	Updated PMP (Revision 5) for the final design/construction phase of the project was conditionally approved by the FTA on Murch 22, 2007.
Quality Management Han	МГА	03/22/07 (A)	Updated PQM(Revision 2) for the final design/construction phase of the project was approved by the FTA on March 22, 2007.
Safety and Security Management Plan	МГА	08/18/06	MTACC submitted the Safety and Security Management Han (SSMP) for PMOC review on January 26, 2007. The PMOC has been reviewing the SSMP along with other key documents that are referenced in the SSMP. Three days of meetings and interviews were held in March 2007 to expedite this plan.
Before and After Study Plan	МГА	10/25/06 (A)	The PMOC received the Before and After Study Han on October 25, 2006. PMOC review comments were resolved during a meeting with the MTACC in February 2007. A revised plan was submitted for FTA review and acceptance in Murch 2007.
		04/07	FTA accept ance is anticipated in April 2007.

Table 1 – FFGA Application Checklist SAS Phase 1

Doc u me nt	Agency	Target Dat e	St at us/ Co mme nt s
Rail Heet Manage ment Han (RFMP)	МΓА	06/02/06 (A)	The FTA received the updated RFMP from MTACC on Murch 6, 2007 and is currently reviewing the document. Accept ance is expected to be given in April 2007. Note: At hough the RFMP includes the procure ment of railcars for SAS as well as defining the railcar length at 75 feet, the plan covers the entire NYCT rail fleet.
Bus Heet Management Han	МГА	03/16/05 (A)	The Bus Heet Minage ment Han was submitted to the FTA for accept ance on March 23, 2007.
Real Estate Acquisition Plan (RAMP)	МΓА	07/25/06 (A)	The updated RAMP was submitted to the PMOC on August 4, 2006 and comments were returned to MFA on October 24, 2006. The revised RAMP was received on February 22, 2007 and comments were sent to MFACC on Murch 30, 2007.
		04/07	FTA accept ance is anticipated in April 2007.
Interagency and RR/Joint Use Corridor Agreements	МГА	N/ A	
Val ue Engi neeri ng	МΓА		Completed for Phase 1 of the project.
Spot Report - Risk Assessment	PMOC	TBD	The PMOC held Risk Assessment workshops with MTACC in March 2007 to review Risk Characterization, Escalation, Contingency and Project Delivery Methods. A Project Execution Strategy workshop is scheduled for early April 2007.
Financi ng Plan	МΓА	09/06 (A)	Submitted as part of FY 08 New Starts submission.
Financial Capacity Review	FMOC	03/07	
Depart ment of Labor (13c) Certification	DOL	03/07	

Table 1 – FFGA Application Checklist SAS Phase 1

Doc u ment	Agency	Target Dat e	St at us/ Co mme nt s
Part I- Full Funding Grant Agreement (FFGA)	МΓА	Fal1-07	
Attachment 1- Scope of Project	МΓА	03/07 (A)	Submitted to FTA
Attachment 1A - Color Map	МΓА	03/07 (A)	Submitted to FTA
Attachment 2- Project Description	МГА	03/07 (A)	Submitted to FTA
Attachment 3- Baseline Cost Estimate	МГА	05/07	
Attachment 3A Project Budget	МΓА	05/07	
Attachment 4 Baseline Schedule	МΓА	05/07	
Attachment 5- Schedule of Prior Grants and Related Documents	МГА	03/07 (A)	Submitted to FTA
Attachment 6 Schedule of Capital New Starts Funds	МГА	03/07 (A)	Submitted to FTA
Attachment 7- Méasures to Mtigate Environmental Impacts	МГА	03/07 (A)	Submitted to FTA
Attachment 8- New Starts "Before and After Study"	МТА	03/07 (A)	MT ACC submitted the Before and After Study Plan for PMOC review on October 24, 2006, and comments were returned to MTACC in February 2007. MTACC sent the updated plan to the FTA for review and acceptance in March 2007.
Submittal of Grant Application in TEAM(Part II-FFGA included)	МГА	TBD	
Congressional Review	OST	TBD	

II. ACTI ON I TEMS - I TEMS FOR GRANTEE ACTI ON

Status of Action Items

The status of Action Items is shown in the following summary chart.

Note: Items marked with a "C" in the "PMO Contractor Status" column will be dropped from future reports.

Key Item

2. XX PMO CLI N 5 – Project Management Plan

3. XX PMO CLI N 3 – Project Monitoring

Legend

Pri ority (Pr)	Grantee Action	PMO Contractor Status
1 – Most Gitical	D – Remedial Action Developed	R – Review On-going
2 – Gitical	A – Remedial Action Approved	C - Completed - No further review required
3 – Least Gitical	I – Action I mple mented	

Items for Action

P	Ite m	I dentification	Nat ure of Problem		ntee A	cti on	Co mme nt s	St at us
	11 nem		rature of montem	D	A	I	Co finite lits	or ar us

There are no open items.

III. OBSERVATI ONS AND CURRENT ISSUES

General Agency Assessment

Funding and Budget: A total of \$270.901 million has been expended on the project through February 28, 2007 including \$5.455 million on the Manhattan East Side Access (ESA) study and related HS work during the 1995-1999 capital program and \$265.466 million as part of the 2000-2004 capital program

Based on PE and Extended PE final charges, the budget of \$326.452 million has been revised. The current project budget of \$337.584 is for work associated with the consultant, DHA Joint Venture, for PE (\$187 M), Extended PE (\$6.9 M), Final Design (\$116 M), and Construction Phase Design Support (\$27 M). Payments a mounting to \$191.403 million for PE and \$31.079 million for Final Design for a total of \$222.483 million have been made to DHA as of February 28, 2007. Note: The total amount paid for PE was reduced by \$59,426 due to a 2003 Overhead adjust ment. One contract modification in the amount of \$44,772.00 has been approved. A summary table of funding and cost allocations is shown on page III-17.

In advance of a Full Funding Grant Agreement, the MTA submitted an Early Systems Work Agreement (ES WA) request to the FTA for Phase 1 of the Second Avenue Subway (SAS) project on October 13, 2006 in the amount of \$693.0 million. The PMOC, in support of the Region, evaluated the request and concluded that it was reasonable. The PMOC issued a Spot Report on November 22, 2006 documenting its findings. The FTA approved the ES WA on January 5, 2007.

Budget Control: MFACC continued to effectively monitor, control, and report project costs and expenditures. The PMOC will continue monitoring MFACC's Budget Control during the Final Design phase and beyond.

Schedule: The following table identifies major project milestones established by the MTACC through a ward of the first construction contract. Not all milestones/activities/events are included

Activity/ Event	MTACC's Sche	dul e Infor mati on
Activity/ Event	Current	Previous Report
FTA Approval of Final Environmental Impact Statement (FELS)	Apr. 8, 2004 (A)	Apr. 8, 2004 (A)
MTA Board Approval to Advertise First DB Tunneling Contract	Apr. 28, 2004 (A)	Apr. 28, 2004 (A)
Notice of Availability of FEIS in Federal Register	May 7, 2004 (A)	May 7, 2004 (A)
MT ACC In- House Risk Analysis for entire Phase 1	June 4, 2004 (A)	June 4, 2004 (A)
Contractor Session for SAS and No. 7 Line Extension	May 25, 2004(A)	May 25, 2004(A)
Advertise 1st Design/Build Tunneling Contract	June 21, 2004 (A)	June 21, 2004 (A)

Activity / Evert	MTACC's Schedule Information				
Activity/ Event	Current	Previous Report			
Value Engineering 2 nd Stage for Phase 1	June 7, 2004 (A)	June 7, 2004 (A)			
Pre-Hid Meeting for 1st D' B Tunneling Contract	Jul y 20, 2004 (A)	Jul y 20, 2004 (A)			
Record of Decision (ROD)	July 8, 2004 (A)	July 8, 2004 (A)			
Sub mit request to enter Final Design (Phase 1)	Sept. 13, 2004 (A)	Sept. 13, 2004 (A)			
Revised request to enter Final Design (Phase 1)	Nov. 15, 2005 (A)	Nov. 15, 2005 (A)			
PE for the Full Length of SAS Substantially Complete	Dec. 20, 2004 (A)	Dec. 20, 2004 (A)			
FTA Approval to Enter Final Design	April 18, 2006(A)	April 2006 (A)			
FTA Approval of Early Systems Work Agreement (Approval of ES WA also view as authorization to enter Construction)	Jan 5, 2007 (A)				
Award Contract for Tunnel Work (1st Contract)	Mar 20, 2007 (A)	March 2007			
Complete Extended Preliminary Engineering	Nov. 30, 2005(A)	Nov. 30, 2005(A)			
Complete Final Preliminary Engineering	April 17, 2006(A)	April 17, 2006 (A)			
Award Final Design for Phase 1	April 18, 2006 (A)	April 2006 (A)			
Anticipated Receipt of Full Funding Grant Agreement	Fal1 2007	Fall 2007			

Schedule Control: The July 25, 2006 Final Design Baseline Schedule was integrated into the Project Master Schedule and for warded for PMOC review Subsequent discussions resolved two areas of PMOC concernie pre revenue operation testing and the date of revenue operations. The Project Master Schedule is being updated to address the PMOC's concerns and the incorporation of the construction schedule for Contract 1. The update is anticipated to be completed in April 2007.

FTA Require ments for Entering Into Final Design

In accordance with the FTA's April 18, 2006 letter approving entry into Final Design, seven technical and financial issues must be addressed during Final Design and resolved prior to FTA entering into a Full Funding Grant Agreement (FFGA). The following list enumerates those items and their current status:

1. Update and Maintain a Current Project Level Capital Cost Estimate – In support of the FY 08 New Starts Submission, Phase 1 SAS Cost Estimate Revision 5 (dated August 2006) was provided to the PMOC for review. Adjust ments have been made in several cost categories as the project has advanced into final design. The estimate,

however, still reflects a total project cost of \$3.838 billion. MFACC will update the estimate in *April* 2007 to reflect the bid amount for Contract 1 and to address PMOC concerns.

- 2. Update and Maintain a Current Safety and Security Management Han MTACC completed the Safety and Security Management Han (SSMP) in October 2006 and submitted it to MTA's Safety Group, who approved its submittal for FTAPMOC review On January 26, 2007, MTACC submitted the draft SSMP to the PMOC, and it is being reviewed by the PMOC's Safety and Security Specialists. Interviews of key SAS personnel were held on March 27, 28 and 29, 2007 following a kickoff meeting on the first day. As part of the process, the PMOC went through the plan page by page with the author. There were over 100 comments on the plan. Once the plan has been updated, a second review, with written comments back to MTACC, will be performed. The plan needs to be strengthened in the security area and responsibilities assigned.
- 3. Advance the Project Design and Construction Consistent with the Environmental Mitigation Measures from the FEIS and ROD MTACC committed to developing a tracking mechanism to ensure that all environmental mitigation measures, identified in Atachment Aof the Record of Decision, are being addressed during Final Design. On July 24, 2006 MTA issued a Technical Memorandum, which assessed the impact of design modifications made to Phase 1 since the issuance of the FEIS and the ROD. The assessment was conducted to determine if any additional impacts not discussed in the FEIS and ROD would result from the design revisions. The PMOC concurred with the MTA's conclusion that there were no significant environmental impacts as a result of the refinements. In February 2007, MTA sent Technical Memorandum 2 concerning the 96th Street Station design listing additional changes, which are different than the FEIS scope, that have been made for improvement. Athird Memorandum is expected in April 2007. The PMOC will continue to monitor the different contract packages as they advance through final design.
- 4. Develop and Implement a Management Plan for Accomplishing the Rsk M tigations—The MTACC is fully cooperating in the FTA's Risk Assessment Process and made its team available for the SAS Risk Assessment Workshop during the first week of May 2006 and a Risk Mitigation workshop in July 2006. A premeeting to revie withe Risk Characterizations by the PMOC reviewers was held in January 2007. A draft Risk Characterization report was submitted on February 16, 2007. The PMOC held an internal Risk workshop on February 28, 2007 to prepare for the Risk Characterization workshops with the SAS team in March 2007. Workshops were held in March 2007 to review the SAS project's Characterization, Escal ation, Contingencies and Project Delivery Methods. A Project Execution Strategy workshop is scheduled for early April 2007. It is anticipated that the Risk Management Program concept developed for the East Side Access project will be implemented on the SAS project.

- 5. Provide the Required Documentation to Support the Current "Medium"? New Starts Rating The PMOC received the FY 2008 New Starts submission from MTACC on August 30, 2006. The PMOC review of the SCC Worksheets revealed several anomalies associated with the number of required rail vehicles, project description, and SCC Worksheet revision status. Corrections were subsequently made and new worksheets were submitted. The PMOC documented its findings in a Spot report that was issued on October 9, 2006. A copy containing FTA requested corrections was transmitted on October 23, 2006. This action is considered dosed.
- 6. Update the PMP and each Sub-plan as Applicable to Support Future Construction Activities Updated PMP (Revision 5) for the final design/construction phase of the project was approved by the FTA on Murch 22, 2007.
 - Updated QMP (Revision 2) for the final design/construction phase of the project was approved by the FTA on March 22, 2007.
- 7. Prepare an Acceptable Before and After Study Plan The PMOC received the SAS Before and After Study Plan on October 24, 2006. Comments were provided to the SAS Teamin February 2007. MIACC officially submitted the Before and After plan to the FTA on Murch 6, 2007.

February 28, 2007 Funding and Cost Data for the NYCT Second Avenue Subway Project									
Project Component	Funding Sources	Fund	ling Allocations to D (in \$thousands)	ate	Cost Allocations to Date				
	Funding ID	Federal @ 80% of Total Value (TeamWeb)	Local (TeamWeb)	Total Eligible Cost	Grant Drawdown (TeamWeb)	Current Project Budget	Spent Through Date: February 28, 2007 (Funding Report)		
Funding									
MESA Study									
CMAQ	NY-90-X274-01	4,198,400.00	1,049,600.00	5,248,000.00	4,198,400.00		4,198,400.00		
MTA Funding (MESA Study)	MAC CF-93		973,427.48	973,427.48					
MTA Funding (MESA Study)	POOL		178,478.06	178,478.06					
Total		4,198,400.00	2,201,505.54	6,399,905.54					
2nd Av EIS/PE									
FTA 5309 New Starts Grant (2nd Av PE)	NY-03-0397	4,980,026.00	1,245,006.00	6,225,032.00	4,980,026.00		4,980,026.00		
FTA 5309 New Starts Grant FFY03 (2nd Av PE cont.)	NY-03-0408	1,967,165.00	491,791.00	2,458,956.00	1,967,165.00		1,967,165.00		
FTA 5309 New Starts Grant FFY04 (2nd Av PE cont.)	NY-03-0408-1	1,968,358.00	492,090.00	2,460,448.00	1,574,686.00		1,968,358.00		
FTA 5309 New Starts Grant FFY06 (2nd Av FD cont)	NY-03-0408-2	24,502,500.00	6,125,625.00	30,628,125.00					
FTA 5309 New Starts Grant FFY06 (2nd ESWA)	NY-03-0408-3	-	-	-					
MTA Funding (2nd Av EIS/PE) - CFY0102	LOCAL		500,000.00	500,000.00			500,000.00		
MTA Funding (2nd Av EIS/PE) - CFY03	LOCAL		250,000.00	250,000.00			250,000.00		
MTA Funding (2nd Av EIS/PE) - CFY04	LOCAL		250,000.00	250,000.00			250,000.00		
MTA Funding (2nd Av EIS/PE)	POOL		259,612,089.28	259,612,089.28			199,898,129.80		
Total		33,418,049.00		302,384,650.28					
Total Funding		37,616,449.00	273,369,612.36	308,784,555.82			209,813,678.80		

Costs								
	Base Budget	Current Budget	Vouchered As Of February 28, 2007					
MESA Study	5,368.00	\$ 5,454,700.00	\$ 5,454,700.00					
EIS for SAS (Incl. Lower Man. Ser. Study)	11,901.30	\$ 12,674,033.00	\$ 10,859,683.13					
Preliminary Engineering (I/H)								
Master Plan Development	2,500,000.00	\$ 2,197,642.90	\$ 2,197,642.90					
Arch/Eng Services	316,525.00	\$ 316,525.00	\$ 267,940.97					
TA Labor Services	1,000,000.00	\$ 975,000.00	\$ 874,588.91					
Outside Agencies	50,000.00	\$ 22,960.14	\$ 22,960.14					
Property Acquisition	-	\$ 11,000.00	\$ 7,500.00					
Preliminary Engineering (I/H)	43,510,000.00	\$ 27,139,110.38	\$ 27,139,110.38					
Consultant design	-	\$ 267,559.00	\$ 267,559.00					
Consultant Design (PE)	210,452,138.00	\$ 194,099,207.00	\$ 191,404,347.00					
PE Consultant Contin. Reserve	-	\$ 2,332,718.00	\$ 44,772.00					
Consultant (Final Design)		\$ 116,026,944.00	\$ 31,078,929.59					
Consultant (Final Design/Const. Support)		\$ 27,458,735.00						
I/Q Consultant	21,155.00	\$ 1,110,915.22	\$ 1,110,915.22					
Artwork	-	\$ 78,000.00	\$ 77,996.00					
Miscell.		\$ 92,691.60	\$ 92,691.60					
Sub-Total (MESA, EIS, & PE)	257,867,087.30	\$ 390,257,741.24	\$ 270,901,336.84					

Project Cost Esti mate: Phase 1 SAS Cost Esti mate Revision 5 (dated August 2006) was issued in support of the FY 08 New Starts Submission. The estimate was in the CSI and SCC for mats. As the project has advanced further into the final design phase, adjust ments have been made in several cost categories. However, the estimate is still at approximately \$3.838 billion. PMOC received the estimate in October 2006 and provided comments to the MFACC. A complete update reflecting the bid price for Contract 1 and addressing the PMOC concerns is anticipated in *April* 2007. The PMOC will continue to monitor the cost as the design is refined.

Quality Management Update: Update of the SAS Quality Assurance Plan for the FD phase was completed in July 2006 and submitted for PMOC review on August 4, 2006. During November 2006, the PMOC and MFACC resolved all concerns and comments associated with the updated QMP. Revision 2 of the QMP was subsequently issued on November 16, 2006. The FTA sent MFACC an approval letter for the SAS QMP, Revision 2 on March 22, 2007.

Design and Engineering Manage ment: Phase 1 will consist of six construction contracts as follows – a tunnel boring contract, four Station contracts (63rd St., 72nd St., 86th St. and 96th St.) and a Systems contract. Two of the stations, 72nd Street and 86th Street, will be mined; the 96th Street station will be cut and cover and the existing 63rd Street station will be modified MFACC a warded the FD of Phase 1 to DHA on April 18, 2006 at a value of approximately \$143.485 million (\$116,000 million FD and \$27.485 million construction phase design support). Working group meetings are ongoing to support the Final Design of the various contract packages. PMOC continues to monitor these meetings.

Construction Contract #1 (G 26002 Tunnel Boring): Final design was completed by DHA in July 2006. During October 2006, MFACC and NYCT completed its internal review process and advertised the contract for bid on October 26, 2006. Asite tour and pre-bid conference were held on November 14, 2006. Two bids were received and opened on January 18, 2007. The bids were \$337.025 million and \$495.077 million. Contract 1 was awarded on March 20, 2007. A Ground Breaking cere mony is scheduled for April 12, 2007.

Real Estate: MITA Real Estate has taken the lead in real estate matters related to the SAS project and is responsible for acquiring the real estate interests needed for the project. Property research efforts are ongoing to support the design effort. Property information is necessary to ascertain the owners of record and the current legal descriptions of the parcels. That information is used to define the project limits and to identify suitable parcels on which to locate project structures.

The PMOC's reviewed the updated Real Estate Acquisition Plan (REAP) dated July 2006 and sent their comments to MFACC in October 2007. The revised RAMP was sent to the PMOC on February 22, 2007 and preliminary comments were sent to MFACC on March 30, 2007. The plan still needs adjust ments in the negotiation and relocation sections in order to comply with the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act (Uniform Act).

The PMOC is scheduled to review the SAS property acquisition files at the MTA's office on April 4 and 5, 2007.

The MTA Board approved the purchase of an OCIPInsurance Policy for the SAS at the December 2006 board meeting

Attachment B- Summary of Concerns and Recommendations

The status of PMO Contractor Concerns and Recommendations is shown in the following summary chart.

Note: Items marked with a "C' in the "PMO Contractor Status" column will be dropped from future reports.

Key Item

Subt ask 11 A XX CLI N 0002 – Technical Capacity Review

Subtask 12 A XX CLI N 0003 - Monit or Project Development and Implementation

Legend

Pri ority (Pr)	Cat egory (Cat.)	Grantee Action (DAI)	PMO Contractor Status
1 – Most Gitical	S1 – Scope	D - Remedial Action Developed	R – Review On-going
2 – Gitical	S2 – Schedul e	A – Remedial Action Approved	C - Completed - No further review required
3 – Least Gitical	S3 – Safet y/ Securit y	I – Action I mple mented	
	B – Budget	Y – Yes	
	Q – Quality	N - No	

$\textbf{At ach ment} \ \ \textbf{B-Summary of Concerns} \ \ \textbf{and Recommendations}$

Pr Ite m	Ite m	I dentification C	Cat	Nature of Concern	ncern PMO Recommendation	Grantee Action			St at us	St at us
						D	A	Ι		

There are no open actions.