FEDERAL TRANSIT ADM NISTRATION

PROJECT MANAGEMENT OVERSIGHT PROGRAM

Contract No. DTFT60-04-D 00012
Project No. DG 27-5006
Task Order No. 3

Grantee: METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY SECOND AVENUE SUB WAY (MTACC SAS)

REGIONII MAJOR CAPITAL PROJECTS

Monthly Report - Part I August 2007

Urban Engineers of New York, P. C 350 Fifth Avenue, Suite 6024 New York, New York 10118

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page No. |
|--|----------|
| SECTI ON III SECOND AVENUE SUB WAY PROJECT TABLE OF CONTENTS | T |
| IIST OF ACRONYMS | |
| | |
| I EXECUTI VE SUMMARY | 1 |
| A PROJECT DESCRIPTION | 1 |
| B PROJECT STATUS | 1 |
| C SCHEDULE | 1 |
| D COST DATA | |
| E TECHNICAL CAPACITY REVIEW | |
| F SYSTEM SAFETY REVIEW | |
| G MAJ OR ISSUES/ PROBLEMS | 4 |
| AFTACHMENT A- SAFETY CHECKLIST SECOND AVENUE SUBWAY | 5 |
| | |
| II. ACTI ON I TEMS – I TEMS FOR GRANTEE ACTI ON | 11 |
| III. OBSERVATI ONS AND CURRENT ISSUES | 13 |
| ATTACHMENT B- SUMMARY OF CONCERNS AND RECOMMENDATIONS | 22 |
| ATTACHMENT D- SUMMART OF CONCERNS AND RECOMMENDATIONS | 22 |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| TABLES | |
| Table 1 – FEGA Application Checklist SAS Phase 1 | 8 |

LIST OF ACRONYMS

CSI Construction Standards Institute
DHA DMI M+ Harris and ARUP
ES WA Early Systems Work Agreement

FD Final Design

FELS Final Environmental Impact Statement

FFGA Full Funding Grant Agreement FTA Federal Transit Administration

MTA Metropolitan Transportation Authority

MTACC Metropolitan Transportation Authority – Capital Construction

N/ A Not Applicable

NYCT Ne w York Gty Transit
PE Preli minary Engineering

PMOC Project Management Oversight Contractor (Urban Engineers)

PMP Project Management Han PQM Project Quality Manual

RAMP Real Estate Acquisition Management Plan

ROD Record of Decision
SAS Second Avenue Subway

SCC Standard Construction Categories
SS MP Safety and Security Management Plan

SS OA State Safety Oversight Agency SSPP System Safety ProgramPlan

TBD To Be Determined

SECTI ON III SECOND AVENUE SUB WAY (SAS) PROJECT

I EXECUTI VE SUMMARY

A Project Description

- General Description: The Second Avenue Subway (SAS) project will connect Manhattan's Central Harle marea with the downtown financial district, relieving congested conditions on the Lexington Avenue line. The current project scope includes: tunneling, station/ancillary facilities; track, signal, and electrical work; vehicle procure ment; and all α her subway systems necessary for operation. The project consists of four phases, with Phase 1 providing an Initial Operating Segment (IOS) from 96th Street to 63rd Street, and will connect with the existing Broadway Line that extends to Lower Manhattan and Brooklyn. Subsequent phases will extend the line northward to 125th Street and to the southern terminus at Hanover Square in Lower Manhattan.
- **Lengt h** Phase 1 23 miles from 63rd Street to 105th Street. Total Project 8.5 miles from 125th Street and Lexington Avenue in Central Harlemto Lower Manhattan
- No. of Stations: Total Project 16 new stations. Phase 1-t wo new mined stations located at 72nd and 86th Streets, One new cut and cover station at 96th Street, and modification of the existing 63rd Street Station on the Broadway Line.
- Additional Facilities: New storage tracks will be provided along the alignment and at the north and south terminals during the later phases of the project, but not as part of Phase 1.
- **Véhi des**: Total Project Approximately 224 railcars that are 75 feet long (28 new 8-car train sets). Phase 1 68 new rail cars (includes 12 spares).
- Redership Forecast: The full length SAS is forecast to carry 560,000 daily riders in 2030. Upon completion of Phase 1, ridership is expected to be 191,000 per the MTA's Regional Travel Forecast Model.

B Project Status

• The project is in the Final Design/Construction phase.

C Schedule

- **Preliminary Engineering** (PE): Entry into PE was approved by FTA on December 20, 2001; PE completed April 17, 2006.
- **Record of Decision** (ROD): Record of Decision issued on July 8, 2004.
- **If nal Design** (FD): Entry into FD (Phase 1) was approved by FTA on April 18 2006.
- Full Funding Grant Agreement (FFGA): Anticipated FFGA Fall 2007.

In advance of the FFGA, MTA requested approval of an Early Systems Work Agreement (ES WA) in the amount of \$693 million. The ES WA focused on efforts associated with Contract 1 (Tunnel Boring). The FTA approved the ES WA on January 5, 2007.

- Construction: Entry into the Construction Phase was authorized with the approval of the ES WA on January 5, 2007. The first construction contract, Contract 1 Tunnel Boring, was a warded on March 20, 2007. A Ground-Breaking cere mony was held on April 12, 2007.
- **Project Complete:** Phase 1 Project percent complete is 6 00 % (based on total expenditures versus Phase 1 projected cost at completion) through August 31, 2007.
- Revenue Operations Date:

Phase 1 (MOS)

| | Proposed | Forecast | | |
|---------------|--------------------|---------------|-----------|---------|
| Proposed FFGA | FFGA as Amended | Grantee | P MO | Act ual |
| Fall 2007 | N A | June 2014 (1) | June 2014 | TBD |

- (1) Updated SAS Project Phase 1 Integrated Schedule, Revision 2.5.1 dated May 31, 2007
- Other: The Environmental Impact Statement was approved on April 8, 2004.
- Next Quarterly Review Meeting October 3, 2007

D Cost Data for Phase 1

| | Proposed I | | FF GA | Current Costs (2) | | Expenditures Thru August 31, 2007 | |
|------------------------------------|---------------|-------------------|-------|-------------------|--|--------------------------------------|--|
| | (\$ Millions) | (\$ Millions) (%) | | (\$ Millions) | Percent of Total Current Cost | (\$ Millions) | Percent of Current Project Cost |
| Total Project Cost: | \$4,866.614 | 100 | N A | \$4, 866. 614 | | \$291.754 | 6.00 |
| Total Federal share: | 1, 350, 692 | 27.75 | | 40.076 | - | 39. 682 | . 82 |
| Total FTA share: | 1, 300. 000 | 96. 25 | | 33. 419 | - | | |
| 5309 New Starts share | 1, 300. 000 | 96. 25 | | 33. 419 | - | | |
| Total FHWA share: | 50. 692 | 3. 75 | | 6. 657 | - | | |
| CMAQ | 48. 233 | 95. 15 | | 4. 198 | - | | |
| Speci al Hghway Appropri ati on | 2 459 | 4. 85 | | 2 459 | - | | |
| Total Local share: | 3, 515, 922 | 72, 25 | | 273. 984 | | 252.072 | 5. 18 |
| State share: | 450.000 | 12.80 | | - | | | |
| Agency share: | 3, 065. 922 | 87. 20 | | - | | | |
| Gty share: | 0 | 0 | | - | | | |

⁽¹⁾ FFGA not yet negotiated. Initially, MFACC will seek a FFGA for Phase 1 only. Amounts based on SCC Funding Sources by Category Worksheets with today's date of 5/1/07. If nance charges now included intotal project cost.

- (2) Current cost based on SCC Main Worksheet Build Aternative with today's date of 5/1/07.
- (3) Financial data provided monthly by MFACC

E Technical Capacity Review

- <u>Project Management Plan (PMP)</u>: Updated PMP (Revision 5) for the final design/constriction phase of the project was conditionally approved by the FTA on March 22, 2007.
- <u>Project Quality Manual (PQM) Plan</u>: Updated PQM (Revision 2) for the final design/constriction phase of the project was approved by the FTA on March 28, 2007.
- <u>Bus Heet Management Plan (BFMP)</u>: Updated BFMP dated February 2007 was conditionally accepted by the FTA in May 2007.

■ Rail Heet Management Plan (RFMP): Updated RFMP addressing the SAS project requirements was submitted for FTA acceptance on March 6, 2007. The FTA gave conditional approval on April 24, 2007.

F. System Safety Review

■ The New York State Public Transportation Safety Board, the State Safety Oversight Agency (SSOA), re-certified NYCT's System Safety Program Plan (SSPP) on March 15, 2006 to the revised 49 CFR Part 659 requirements.

G Major Issues/Problems

None.

Attachment A- Safety Checklist Second Avenue Subway

| Areas of Focus | ΥN | Stat us |
|--|----|---|
| State Safety Oversight Agency | | |
| Does the state have a designated State Safety Oversight Agency (SSOA) as defined in 49 CFR Part 659? | Y | Ne w York Public Transportation Safety Board |
| If so, does the SSOA's authority extend to pre-revenue operations? | Y | Yes, All Phases. |
| Has the SSOA established its System Safety Program Standards (SSPS)? | Y | Standards are based on the requirements of 49 CRF Part 659. |
| Has the SSOA received, reviewed, and approved the Grantee's System Safety Program Plan (SSPP)? | Y | SSPP re-certified on March 15, 2006 per the revised 49 CFR Part 659 require ments. |
| Does SSOA participate in Project Development? Participation includes things such as: review design documents; attend review meetings; and comment on the how the safety aspects of the project are being addressed | Y | Reference section 227 of the SAS System Safety & Reliability Plan |
| Has the SSOA perfor med a pre-revenue safety review of the Grantee's project? | N | No |
| Syste m Saf et y | | |
| Is the Grantee's overall Safety Program properly documented in its Project Management Plan (PMP)? | Y | See PMP Section 3 |
| Do the Grantee's PMP and associated Safety Program include an appropriate safety policy adopted by its top management? | Y | By approving plan |
| Do the Grantee's PMP and associated Safety Program establish a specific organizational entity and/or individual responsible for the Safety Program? | Y | See PMP |
| Do the Grantee's PMP and associated Safety Program specify staffing require ments, procedures and authority for the safety activities? | Y | See PMP Section 3 |

Attachment A- Safety Checklist Second Avenue Subway

| Areas of Focus | ΥN | Stat us |
|---|----|--|
| Do the Grantee's PMP and associated Safety Program include a formal Safety Certification Program (SCP)? | Y | See PMP (draft program is available) and SAS System Safety & Reliability Plan |
| Do the Grantee's PMP and associated Safety Program include the development/use of a Safety Design Criteria Manual or equivalent documents? | Y | See DCM Chapter 26 and SAS System Safety & Reliability Han |
| Has the Grantee developed and the SSOA approved the Grantee's SSPP? What is the status of this process bet ween the Grantee and SSOA? | Y | SSPP re-certified on March 15, 2006 per the revised 49 CFR Part 659 require ments. |
| Is the Grantee implementing its Safety Program as defined in the PMP? Are the safety milestones being met? (Note: This assumes that the Safety Program is properly documented in the PMP.) | Y | See Project Schedule |
| Construction Safety | | |
| Is the Grantee's Construction Safety Program (CSP) documented in the PMP? | Y | Included in the Construction Phase PMP. |
| Has the Grantee implemented its CSP? | Y | Section 011150 of the General Requirements specifies the requirement for furnishing all labor, material, tools, equipment, procedures and safety plans necessary to create and maintain a safe work environment. |
| How do the Grantee's OSHA statistics compare to the national average for the same type of work? If the comparison is not favorable, what actions are being taken by the Grantee to improve its safety record? | Y | Statistical data being accumulated for the SAS project. |

Attachment A- Safety Checklist Second Avenue Subway

| Areas of Focus | ΥN | Status |
|--|----|---|
| Is the Grantee using wrap-upinsurance on this project? | Y | Owner Controlled Insurance Program (OCIP) has been i mplemented Insurance coverage by Allied North America, administered by Project Technologies International, LLC |
| Is the Grantee using safety incentives/disincentives on this project? | Y | On goi ng. |
| Shared Track | | |
| Does this project have shared track? | N | |
| Has the Grantee coordinated with FRA regarding wai vers for shared track usage? | ΝA | |
| Shared Corridor | | |
| Does this project include shared corridor? Hease describe the geography of the shared corridor. | N | |
| What is the Grantee doing to specifically address safety concerns in the shared corridor portion of the project? | NΑ | |

Table 1 – FFGA Application Checklist SAS Phase 1

| Doc u me nt | Agency | Target Dat e | St at us/ Co mme nt s |
|--|--------|------------------|--|
| Annual Certs & Assurances | МГА | | |
| Grant Application | МΓА | 7/2007 | |
| Federal STIP Approval | FTA | | |
| Record of Decision/FONSI | FTA | 07/08/04 (A) | |
| Givil Rights Program Review | FTA | | |
| Project Management Plan (PMP) | МГА | 03/22/07 (A) | Updated PMP (Revision 5) for the final design/construction phase of the project was conditionally approved by the FTA on March 22, 2007. |
| Quality Management Plan Project Quality Manual (PQM) | МГА | 03/28/07 (A) | Updated PQM(Revision 2) for the final design/construction phase of the project was approved by the FTA on March 28, 2007. |
| Safety and Security Management Plan (SSMP) | МГА | 08/18/06 | MTACC submitted an informal draft of the Safety and Security Management Han (SSMP) for PMOC review on January 26, 2007. The PMOC met with the SAS team for three days in March 2007 and provided written comments the first week in May 2007. SAS project team submitted an updated SSMP on May 30, 2007. PMOC reviewed comments with SAS team on July 6, 2007 and the updated version was submitted July 19, 2007. PMOC will deliver a Spot Report in early September 2007. |
| Rail Heet Management Han (RFMP) | МГА | 04/24/07 (A) | The FTA gave conditional acceptance on April 24, 2007. |

Table 1 – FFGA Application Checklist SAS Phase 1

| Doc u me nt | Agency | Target Dat e | St at us/ Co mme nt s | |
|---|--------|--------------------|--|--|
| Bus Heet Management Plan (BFMP) | МГА | May 2007 (A) | The Bus Heet Management Han was submitted to the FTA for acceptance on March 23, 2007. PMOC comments were provided and FTA subsequently conditionally accepted the BF MP in May 2007 with minor corrections noted for future update. | |
| Real Estate Acquisition Plan (RAMP) | МГА | 07/ 25/ 06 (A) | The updated RAMP was received on February 22, 2007and PMOC comments were sent to MFACC on March 30, 2007. | |
| | | | An updated RAMP was submitted during the last week of May 2007. This RAMP is being revised to incorporate lessons learned from the review of the Fulton Street Transit Center project. Details were included in the FTA's August 13, 2007 letter to the MTA | |
| Interagency and RR/Joint Use Corridor Agreements | МГА | N A | | |
| Val ue Engi neeri ng | МГА | | Completed for Phase 1 of the project. | |
| Spot Report - Risk Assessment | P MOC | TBD | The PMOC held Risk Assessment workshops with MFACC in March 2007 to review Risk Characterization, Escalation, Contingency and Project Delivery Methods. A Project Execution Strategy workshop was held April 10, 11 & 12, 2007. The Risk Register meeting was held in May 2007 and a draft Spot Report was issued for review PMOC is developing a Risk Mitigation plan and a Spot Report on Risk Mitigation and Oversight. | |
| Financi ng Han | МГА | 09/06 | Submitted as part of FY 08 New Starts submission. | |
| | | (A) | | |
| Financial Capacity Review | FMOC | 03/07 | | |
| Depart ment of Labor (13c) Certification | DOL | 03/07 | | |

Table 1 – FFGA Application Checklist SAS Phase 1

| Document | Agency | Target Dat e | St at us/ Co mme nt s |
|---|--------|-----------------|---|
| Part I- Full Funding Grant Agreement (FFGA) | МΓА | Fal1-07 | |
| Attachment 1- Scope of Project | МΓА | 03/07 (A) | Submitted to FTA |
| Attachment 1A - Color Map | МΓА | 03/07 (A) | Sub mitted to FTA |
| Attachment 2- Project Description | МΓА | 03/07 (A) | Sub mitted to FTA |
| Attachment 3- Baseline Cost Estimate | МΓА | 05/07 | Sub mitted to FTA |
| Attachment 3A Project Budget | МΓА | 05/07 | Sub mitted to FTA |
| Attachment 4 Baseline Schedule | МΓА | 05/07 | Sub mitted to FTA |
| Attachment 5- Schedule of Prior Grants and Related Documents | МΓА | 03/07 (A) | Sub mitted to FTA |
| Attachment 6-Schedule of Capital New Starts Funds | МГА | 03/07 (A) | Sub mitted to FTA |
| Attachment 7- Méasures to M tigate Environmental Impacts | МΓА | 03/07 (A) | Sub mitted to FTA |
| Attachment 8- New Starts "Before and After Study" | МΓА | 03/07 (A) | MT ACC submitted the Before and After Study Han for PMOC review on October 24, 2006, and comments were returned to MT ACC in February 2007. MT ACC sent the updated plan to the FTA for review and acceptance in March 2007. FTA's Planning Office is currently reviewing the plan. |
| Submittal of Grant Application in TEAM(Part II-FFGA included) | МΓА | TBD | |
| Congressional Review | OST | TBD | |

II. ACTI ON ITEMS - ITEMS FOR GRANTEE ACTI ON

Status of Action Items

The status of Action Items is shown in the following summary chart.

Note: Items marked with a "C" in the "PMO Contractor Status" column will be dropped from future reports.

Key Item

2. XX PMO CLI N 5 – Project Management Plan

3. XX PMO CLI N 3 – Project Monitoring

Legend

| Pri ority (Pr) | Grantee Action | PMO Contractor Status |
|-------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| 1 – Most Gitical | D – Remedial Action Developed | R – Review On-going |
| 2 – Gitical | A – Remedial Action Approved | C - Completed - No further review required |
| 3 – Least Gitical | I – Action I mple mented | |

Items for Action

| P | Ite m | Item I dentification Nature of Problem | | Gra | ntee A | cti on | Co mme nt s | St at us |
|---|---------|--|-----------------|-----|--------|-------------|-------------|----------|
| | Ite III | Tucini i cai on | rature of Homem | D | | Co mile nes | or ar us | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

There are no open items.

III. OBSERVATI ONS AND CURRENT ISSUES

Construction Contracts

The construction effort associated with Phase 1 of the SAS Project is currently defined in 6 Construction Packages (contracts) as indicated below. Efforts however are under way to reallocate the scope of work in Contracts 3, 4, and 5 because each has an estimated value greater than \$400 million. MTACC is taking this action because contractors are having difficulty getting bonding on projects valued greater than \$400 million. The rescoping of the work will give more contractors the opportunity to bid on the project. The impact on the project schedule is being evaluated.

Contract 1: G 26002 (Tunnels from 92nd to 63rd Streets)

This first contract provides for the construction of tunnels bet ween 92nd Street and the existing Lexington Ave./63rd Street Station, using a Tunnel Boring Machine (TBM) and other mining methods. It includes all preparatory work for tunneling such as utility relocation and construction of a launch box and two vertical shafts. It also includes preparatory work for the subsequent contracts, such as maintenance of electrical and other facilities. Contract 1 was a warded to S3 Tunnel Contractors (a joint venture of Skanska, Schiavone and Shea).

Contract 2: G26005 (New Station at 96th Street)

This contract provides for the construction of a new station at 96th Street and 2nd Avenue. The scope of this contract consists of the construction of the station entrances, mezzanines, platforms, ancillary buildings, ventilation plants, and modification of the existing tunnel section bet ween 96th Street and 105th Street. It includes the construction of the station structure, architectural finishes and mechanical, electrical and plumbing (MEP) elements. It also provides for the infrastructure (structure, finishes, power, and MEP requirements) for the Systems Contract (Contract & G 26009).

Contract 3: G26006 (Rehabilitation of Existing Lexington Avenue/63rd Street Station)

This contract provides for the rehabilitation of the existing Lexington Avenue/63rd Street Station to accommodate the connection to the SAS Line. The scope of this contract consists of opening half of the station, on both the upper and lower levels, to full revenue service. New entrances are to be added at the 3rd Avenue end of the station. Employee facilities and all MEP facilities are to be added and rehabilitated to support both the existing "F' and new "Q' Line services. It also provides for the infrastructure (structure, finishes, power, and MEP requirements) for the Systems Contract (Contract & C 26009).

Contract 4: G 26007(New Station at 72nd Street)

This contract provides for the construction of a new station at 72nd Street and 2nd Avenue. The scope of this contract consists of the excavation for a three-track cavern, construction of station entrances, station, mezzanines, platforms, ancillary buildings and ventilation plants. It includes the construction of the station structure, architectural finishes, and MEP elements. It also provides for the infrastructure (structure, finishes, power, and MEP requirements) for the Systems contract (Contract & C-26009).

Contract 5: G 26008 (New Station at 86th Street)

This contract provides for the construction of a new station at 86th Street and 2nd Avenue. The scope of this contract consists of the excavation for station cavern, station entrances, station, mezzanines, platforms, ancillary buildings and ventilation plants. It includes the construction of the station structure, architectural finishes, and MEP elements. It also provides for the infrastructure (structure, finishes, power, and MEP requirements) for the Systems contract (Contract & C 26009).

Contract 6: G26009 (Systems Contract – Track, Signals, Power and Communication)

This contract provides for the installation of all of the systems equipment, integration of the systems that link the new stations to each other and to the existing systems, and the commissioning of the systems for the revenue operation of the new SAS Line.

General Agency Assessment

Funding and Budget: Atotal of \$291.754 million has been expended on the project through August 31, 2007, including \$5.455 million on the Manhattan East Side Access (ESA) study and related FEIS work during the 1995-1999 capital program and \$286.299 million as part of the 2000-2004 capital program

The current project budget of \$337.584 million is for work associated with the consultant, DHA Joint Venture, for PE (\$187 million), Extended PE (\$6.9 million), Final Design (\$116 million), and Construction Phase Design Support (\$27 million). Payments a mounting to \$194.099 million for PE and \$49.203 million for Final Design and Construction Phase Design Support for a total of \$242.837 million have been made to DHA as of August 31, 2007.

Note: The total amount paid for PE was reduced by \$59, 426 due to a 2003 Overhead adjust ment. One contract modification in the amount of \$44,772 has been approved. A summary table of funding and cost allocations is shown on page III-19.

The MTA submitted an Early Systems Work Agreement (ES WA) request to the FTA for Phase 1 of the Second Avenue Subway (SAS) project on October 13, 2006 in the amount of \$693.0 million, which FTA approved on January 5, 2007.

The MTA anticipates submitting a FFGA grant application in the fall of 2007.

Budget Control: MFACC continued to effectively monitor, control, and report project costs and expenditures. The PMOC will continue monitoring MFACC's Budget Control during the Final Design phase and beyond.

Schedule: The following table identifies major project milestones established by the MTACC through a ward of the first construction contract. Not all milestones/activities/events are included

| Activity / Twoys | MTACC's Sche | MTACC's Schedule Information | | | | |
|---|---------------------|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Activity/ Event | Current | Previous Report | | | | |
| FTA Approval of Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) | Apr. 8, 2004 (A) | Apr. 8, 2004 (A) | | | | |
| MTA Board Approval to Advertise First D'B Tunneling Contract | Apr. 28, 2004 (A) | Apr. 28, 2004 (A) | | | | |
| Notice of Availability of FEIS in Federal Register | May 7, 2004 (A) | May 7, 2004 (A) | | | | |
| MT ACC In- House Risk Analysis for entire Phase 1 | June 4, 2004 (A) | June 4, 2004 (A) | | | | |
| Contractor Session for SAS and No. 7 Line Extension | May 25, 2004(A) | May 25, 2004(A) | | | | |
| Advertise 1st Design/Build Tunneling Contract | June 21, 2004 (A) | June 21, 2004 (A) | | | | |
| Value Engineering 2nd Stage for Phase 1 | June 7, 2004 (A) | June 7, 2004 (A) | | | | |
| Pre-Bid Meeting for 1st D'B Tunneling Contract | Jul y 20, 2004 (A) | Jul y 20, 2004 (A) | | | | |
| Record of Decision (ROD) | July 8, 2004 (A) | July 8, 2004 (A) | | | | |
| Sub mit request to enter Final Design (Phase 1) | Sept. 13, 2004 (A) | Sept. 13, 2004 (A) | | | | |
| Revised request to enter Final Design (Phase 1) | Nov. 15, 2005 (A) | Nov. 15, 2005 (A) | | | | |
| PE for the Full Length of SAS Substantially Complete | Dec. 20, 2004 (A) | Dec. 20, 2004 (A) | | | | |
| FTA Approval to Enter Final Design | April 18, 2006(A) | April 2006 (A) | | | | |
| FTA Approval of Early Systems Work Agreement (Approval of ES WA also view as authorization to enter Construction) | Jan 5, 2007 (A) | Jan 5, 2007 (A) | | | | |
| Award Contract for Tunnel Work (1st Contract) | Mar 20, 2007 (A) | Mar 20, 2007 (A) | | | | |
| Complete Extended Preliminary Engineering | Nov. 30, 2005(A) | Nov. 30, 2005(A) | | | | |
| Complete Final Preliminary Engineering | April 17, 2006(A) | April 17, 2006 (A) | | | | |
| Award Final Design for Phase 1 | April 18, 2006 (A) | April 2006 (A) | | | | |
| Anticipated Receipt of Full Funding Grant Agreement | Fall 2007 | Fall 2007 | | | | |

Schedule Control: MTACC issued an updated SAS Project Phase 1 Integrated Schedule, Revision 2.4.1 dated May 8, 2007 on May 15, 2007. This update reflects the following changes:

Contract #1 (Tunnel Boring) – The forecast start of construction date of March 1, 2007 was actualized as March 20, 2007. The construction duration remains 40 months

Contract #2 (96th Street Station) – Due to the changes in the ancillary facilities design, the start of the RFP process was delayed from November 29, 2007 to December 31, 2007. The a ward date for this contract remains June 2, 2008. The duration of the RFQ process remains the same, 3 months, from October 1, 2007 to December 28, 2007.

Contract #3 (63rd Street Station) – The MFACC has reforecast that real estate acquisition will be completed on June 1, 2010 in lieu of October 23, 2008 (a ward of the construction contract not impacted). The actual start of the design activities was actualized to June 1, 2006 in lieu of the forecast date of September 1, 2006.

Contract #4 (72nd Street Station) — The study conducted to mini mize the residential real estate i mpact delayed the start of the final design. The design schedule was subsequently revised from 18.5 months to 17 months. The interimsub mission was forecast for December 14, 2007. The final submission of RFP drawings is forecast for October 17, 2008. The start of RFP procurement process was revised for October 20, 2008, which will support the award date of April 20, 2009, four months later than original award date of December 18, 2008.

Contract #5 (86th Street Station) – No major changes of the procure ment and construction dates.

Contract #6 (Systems) – The MFACC revised its forecast date for interims ubmission to November 7, 2007. The final submission was forecast for April 16, 2008 and the RFP drawing date revised to June 30, 2008. The RFP procurement start date was set for July 28, 2008, which will support the original award date of January 28, 2009.

MT ACC added six months of programfloat as recommended by the Risk Assessment Team subsequently resulting in a Revenue Operations Date of June 2014.

FTA Require ments for Entering Into Final Design

In accordance with the FTA's April 18, 2006 letter approving entry into Final Design, MTACC must address seven technical and financial issues during Final Design and resolved prior to FTA entering into a Full Funding Grant Agreement (FFGA). The following list enumerates those items and their current status:

- 1. Update and Maintain a Current Project Level Capital Cost Estimate MFACC has updated the SAS Project Phase 1 cost estimate to address the concerns noted during the risk assessment process. It has made adjust ments to increase the unallocated contingency and support an additional 6 months' float. The cost estimate and its various attachments have been submitted to the FTA This action is considered dosed.
- 2. Update and Maintain a Current Safety and Security Management Han MTACC submitted an informal draft SAS Safety and Security Management Han (SSMP) to the PMOC on January 26, 2007 and it was reviewed by the PMOC's Safety and Security Specialists. Interviews of key SAS personnel were held on

March 27, 28 and 29, 2007 and verbal comments were given to the author on a page by page basis. Written comments were sent to MFACC in early May 2007. The plan needed to be strengthened in the security area and with responsibilities assigned. The informal draft SSMP was updated and resubmitted to the PMOC during the last week of May 2007. The PMOC provided additional comments on July 6, 2007 with MFACC subsequently updating the SSMP on July 19, 2007. The PMOC is currently reviewing this update and anticipates issuing a draft Spot Report in September 2007. MTACC also anticipates formal submission of the SSMP to the FTA in September 2007.

- 3. Advance the Project Design and Construction Consistent with the Environmental Mitigation Measures from the FEIS and ROD MFACC committed to developing a tracking nechanis mto ensure that all environmental mitigation measures, identified in Atachment Aof the Record of Decision, are being addressed during Final Design. To date, 3 Technical Memorandums have been issued that address changes to the project subsequent to the FEIS and ROD. On July 30, 2007, the FTA concurred with Memorandum 3 that the design changes to the SAS Project will not result in additional significant adverse environmental impacts. If changes are made in the future, FTA will need to determine if additional environmental studies will be necessary before the changes are approved.
- 4. Develop and Implement a Management Hanfor Accomplishing the Risk Mitigations The SAS Project Team and the FTA's Risk Assessment Team have worked effectively in addressing issues, which could impact the success of the project. They have developed a Risk Management Program through various workshops and mutual cooperation. The PMOC has documented the efforts of the Risk Assessment Team in various draft Spot Reports. On June 6, 2007 the PMOC for warded the reports to FTA Headquarters for review. The PMOC will monitor the implementation of the Risk Management Program as the project progresses through the design, construction and test phases. The MIACC will define the risk mitigation initiatives in a scoping document for incorporation into the PMP. The PMOC will review the scoping document with MIACC in September 2007.
- 5. Provide the Required Documentation to Support the Current "Medium" New Starts Rating The PMOC received the FY 2008 New Starts submission from MTACC on August 30, 2006. The PMOC review of the SCC Worksheets revealed several anomalies associated with the number of required rail vehicles, project description, and SCC Worksheet revision status. MTACC made the required corrections and submitted new worksheets. The PMOC documented its findings in a Spot report that it issued on October 9, 2006. Acopy containing FTA requested corrections was transmitted to MTACC on October 23, 2006. This action is considered closed.
- 6. Update the PMP and each Sub-plan as Applicable to Support Future Construction Activities Updated PMP (Revision 5) for the final design/construction phase of the project was approved by the FTA on March 22, 2007. The FTA approved an updated PQM(Revision 2) for the final

design/construction phase of the project on March 28, 2007. MTCC has updated all documents required in support of the FFGA application (RFMP, BFMP, RAMP etc.). This action is considered closed.

7. Prepare an Acceptable Before and After Study Plan – The PMOC received the SAS Before and After Study Plan on October 24, 2006 and provided comments to the SAS Teamin February 2007. MTACC officially submitted the Before and After plan to the FTA on March 6, 2007. The FTA Planning Office is currently reviewing the plan.

| Project Component | Funding Sources | Funding Allocations to Date (in \$thousands) | | | Cost Allocations to Date | | | |
|---|--------------------|---|--------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|
| | Funding ID | Federal @ 80% of Total Value (TeamWeb) | Local (TeamWeb) | Total Eligible Cost | Grant Drawdown (TeamWeb) | Current Project Budget | Spent Through Date: August 31, 2007 (Funding Report) | |
| Funding | | | | | | | | |
| MESA Study | | | | | | | | |
| CMAQ | NY-90-X274-01 | 4,198,400.00 | 1,049,600.00 | 5,248,000.00 | 4,198,400.00 | | 4,198,400.00 | |
| MTA Funding (MESA Study) | MAC CF-93 | | 973,427.48 | 973,427.48 | | | | |
| MTA Funding (MESA Study) | POOL | | 178,478.06 | 178,478.06 | | | | |
| Total | | 4,198,400.00 | 2,201,505.54 | 6,399,905.54 | | | | |
| 2nd Av EIS/PE | | | | | | | | |
| FTA 5309 New Starts Grant (2nd Av PE) | NY-03-0397 | 4,980,026.00 | 1,245,006.00 | 6,225,032.00 | 4,980,026.00 | | 4,980,026.00 | |
| FTA 5309 New Starts Grant FFY03 (2nd Av PE cont.) | NY-03-0408 | 1,967,165.00 | 491,791.00 | 2,458,956.00 | 1,967,165.00 | | 1,967,165.0 | |
| FTA 5309 New Starts Grant FFY04 (2nd Av PE cont.) | NY-03-0408-1 | 1,968,358.00 | 492,090.00 | 2,460,448.00 | 1,574,686.00 | | 1,968,358.0 | |
| FTA 5309 New Starts Grant FFY06 (2nd Av FD cont) | NY-03-0408-2 | 24,502,500.00 | 6,125,625.00 | 30,628,125.00 | 24,502,500.00 | | | |
| FTA 5309 New Starts Grant FFY06 (2nd ESWA) | NY-03-0408-3 | - | - | - | | | | |
| FHWA Special Appropriation (5307) | NY-17-X001-01 | 2,459,821.00 | 614,955.00 | 3,074,766.00 | 2,459,500.00 | | | |
| MTA Funding (2nd Av EIS/PE) - CFY0102 | LOCAL | | 500,000.00 | 500,000.00 | | | 500,000.00 | |
| MTA Funding (2nd Av EIS/PE) - CFY03 | LOCAL | | 250,000.00 | 250,000.00 | | | 250,000.00 | |
| MTA Funding (2nd Av EIS/PE) - CFY04 | LOCAL | | 250,000.00 | 250,000.00 | | | 250,000.00 | |
| MTA Funding (2nd Av EIS/PE) | POOL | | 259,612,089.28 | 259,612,089.28 | | | 199,898,129.80 | |
| Total | | 35,877,870.00 | | 305,459,416.28 | | | | |
| Total Funding | | 40,076,270.00 | 273,984,567.36 | 311,859,321.82 | 39,682,277.00 | | 209,813,678.80 | |

| Costs | | | | |
|--|----------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| | Base Budget | Current Budget | Vouchered As Of August 31, 2007 | |
| MESA Study | 5,368.00 | \$ 5,454,700.00 | \$ 5,454,700.00 | |
| IS for SAS (Incl. Lower Man. Ser. Study) | 11,901.30 | \$ 12,174,678.00 | | |
| Preliminary Engineering (I/H) | | | | |
| Master Plan Development | 2,500,000.00 | \$ 2,197,642.90 | \$ 2,197,642.90 | |
| Arch/Eng Services | 316,525.00 | \$ 316,525.00 | \$ 267,940.97 | |
| TA Labor Services | 1,000,000.00 | \$ 975,000.00 | \$ 902,250.90 | |
| Outside Agencies | 50,000.00 | \$ 22,960.14 | \$ 22,960.14 | |
| Property Acquisition | - | \$ 7,500.00 | \$ 7.500.00 | |
| Preliminary Engineering (I/H) | 43,510,000.00 | \$ 27,139,110.38 | \$ 27,139,110.38 | |
| Consultant design | - | \$ 267,559.00 | \$ 267,559.00 | |
| Consultant Design (PE) | 210,452,138.00 | \$ 194,099,207.00 | \$ 193,634,575.00 | |
| PE Consultant Contin. Reserve | - | \$ 2,332,718.00 | \$ 44,772.00 | |
| Consultant (Final Design) | | \$ 116,026,944.00 | \$ 49,202,782.23 | |
| Consultant (Final Design/Const. Support) | | \$ 27,458,735.00 | | |
| I/Q Consultant | 21,155.00 | \$ 1,110,915.22 | \$ 1,110,915.22 | |
| Artwork | - | \$ 78,000.00 | \$ 77,996.00 | |
| Miscell. | | \$ 92,691.60 | \$ 92,691.60 | |
| Sub-Total (MESA, EIS, & PE) | 257,867,087.30 | \$ 389,754,886.24 | \$ 291,754,096.02 | |

Project Cost Esti mate: The MTACC has updated the SAS Project Phase 1 cost estimate to address the concerns noted during the Risk Assessment process. Adjustments have been made to increase the unallocated contingency, real estate cost, and support an additional 6 months' float. The MTACC has submitted the cost estimate and its various attachments to the FTA in preparation for the FFGA application. Total project cost (including finance charges) is \$4.867 billion.

Quality Manage ment: The PMOC reviewed the Quality Manage ment System, addressed in SAS Project Quality Manual (PQM), Revision 2, dated November 2006, and found that it complied with FTA guidance and was therefore acceptable, the FTA subsequently approved an updated PQM was on March 28, 2007. The SAS Quality Management System as described in the PQM establishes a systematic approach to ensure that the contracted products and services meet the requirements of the specifications. The SAS Project quality team continues to be proactive in the implementation of the quality management system. The SAS Quality Assurance Manager approved the Quality Management System to be utilized by S3 (Contract 1-tunnel boring contractor) in July 2007. S3's quality personnel are actively conducting preparatory phase session meetings, design process control reviews, incoming and in-process materials inspections and test monitoring. Subcontractor audits have been scheduled for September 2007.

Design and Engineering Management: MTACC a war ded the FD of Phase 1 to DHA on April 18, 2006 at a value of approximately \$143.485 million (\$116.000 million FD and \$27.485 million construction phase design support). Design completion milest ones have been incorporated into the project schedule. Because of the rescoping of Contracts 3, 4 and 5 these milest ones might be impacted. Working group meetings are ongoing to support the Final Design of the various contract packages. PMOC continues to monit or these meetings.

Construction Management: On May 31, 2007, MTACC a warded a contract to PB Americas to perform Construction Management services for Phase 1 of the SAS project. As Consultant Construction Manager (CCM), PB Americas will provide services for 91 months with a not-to-exceed value of \$80.9 million. PB is playing an active role coordinating activities a mong the various agencies, the contractor (S3) and the SAS Project Team. S3 concerns are being addressed with action items being assigned and tracked for closure. Working Group and Job Progress Meetings are being held bi-weekly to keep all parties informed. The PMOC attended both job progress meetings in August 2007 and toured the site following the meetings.

Construction Contract #1 (G 26002 Tunnel Boring): Contract 1 was a warded on March 20, 2007 to S3, a joint venture of Skanska, Schi ovone, and Shea. Contract submitteds (Shop Drawings, RFI's, etc.) are being handled on time per the contract. The CPM schedule was approved this month by MTACC PMOC has requested a copy of the approved schedule. Work on the Launch Box is progressing bet ween 96th and 90th streets, with utilities relocation, pretrenching for secant/slurry walls and installation of replacement wooden staircases for building access. The contractor has uncovered a wall on the west side of Second Avenue bet ween 92nd and 93rd streets that is blocking the sewer relocation and will be removed. New York Gty Depart ment of Environmental Protection (NYCDEP) now requires that their sewer be pile supported, which is a change from the contract. Safety on this contract is excellent. Both the PMOC and FTA representatives will need to attend the contract or's safety orientation class pri or to getting access to the job site.

Real Estate: MTA Real Estate is handling all real estate matters related to the SAS project and is responsible for acquiring the real estate interests needed for the project. MTA's real estate acquisition process is addressed in the Real Estate Acquisition Management Han (RAMP) dated July 2006. A PMOC review revealed that the RAMP needed to be updated to comply with the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act (Uniform Act). MTACC submitted an updated RAMP during the last week of May 2007. Subsequently, FTA Real Estate net with MTA's Real Estate group to review lessons learned on the Fulton Street Transit Center project which utilized the same process as the SAS project. The MTACC is revising the SAS RAMP to reflect the additions requested in the FTA's August 13, 2007 letter.

Attachment B- Summary of Concerns and Recommendations

The status of PMO Contractor Concerns and Recommendations is shown in the following summary chart.

Note: Items marked with a "C' in the "PMO Contractor Status" column will be dropped from future reports.

Key Item

Subt ask 11 A XX CLI N 0002 – Technical Capacity Review

Subtask 12 A XX CLI N 0003 - Monit or Project Development and Implementation

Legend

| Pri ority (Pr) | Cat egory (Cat.) | Grantee Action (DAI) | PMO Contractor Status |
|-------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| 1 – Most Gitical | S1 – Scope | D - Remedial Action Developed | R – Review On-going |
| 2 – Gitical | S2 – Schedul e | A – Remedial Action Approved | C - Completed - No further review required |
| 3 – Least Gitical | S3 – Safet y/ Securit y | I – Action I mple mented | |
| | B – Budget | Y – Yes | |
| | Q – Quality | N - No | |

$\textbf{At ach ment} \ \ \textbf{B-Summary of Concerns} \ \ \textbf{and Recommendations}$

| Pr | Ite m | I dentification (| Cat | Nat ure of Concern | P MO Recommendation | Grantee Acti on | | | St at us | St at us |
|----|-------|-------------------|-----|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---|---|----------|----------|
| | | | | | | D | A | Ι | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

There are no Summary of Concerns and Recommendations.